On the Doi-Edwards and K-BKZ rheological models for polymer fluids: an existence result for shear flows.

Ionel Sorin Ciuperca[1], Arnaud Heibig[2] and Liviu Iulian Palade[2] *

June 23, 2014

arXiv:1406.5325v1 [math.AP] 20 Jun 2014

Université de Lyon, CNRS, Institut Camille Jordan UMR 5208
[1] Université Lyon 1, Bât Braconnier, 43 Boulevard du 11 Novembre 1918, F-69622, Villeurbanne, France.
[2] INSA-Lyon, Pôle de Mathématiques, Bât. Leonard de Vinci No. 401, 21 Avenue Jean Capelle, F-69621, Villeurbanne, France.

Abstract

This paper establishes the existence of smooth solutions for the Doi-Edwards rheological model of viscoelastic polymer fluids in shear flows. The problem turns out to be formally equivalent to a K-BKZ equation but with constitutive functions spanning beyond the usual mathematical framework. We prove, for small enough initial data, that the solution remains in the domain of hyperbolicity of the equation for all $t \geq 0$.

Keywords: Doi-Edwards polymer model; K-BKZ viscoelastic fluid; shear flows; convolution operator; evolutionary integro-differential equation.

1 Introduction.

intro

Today's modeling of non-Newtonian and viscoelastic industrial flows (and of the rheological behavior in general) relies heavily on molecular theories. The rheology of various linear/branched polymer liquids is very well described by the so-called tube-reptation theories initiated by Doi and Edwards (DE), see [7]. At the heartcore of any kinetical model one finds a configurational probability diffusion equation (a parabolic PDE) the solution of which is needed to obtain the stress tensor, i.e. the corresponding constitutive equation (CE). For the full, non-linear DE model, in [5] we proved the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the diffusion equation using the Schauder fixed point theorem and the Galerkin's approximation method. Moreover, this work is related to that in [4].

Here we focus on an equally crucial issue, that of existence of solutions to shear flows. The corresponding constitutive equation is that of the simplified DE theory commonly called Independent Alignment Approximation (IAA). The governing equations for the shear flow are given below:

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \tag{1.1}$$

Oi1

*Corresponding author. E-mail: ciuperca@math.univ-lyon.fr, arnaud.heibig@insa-lyon.fr, liviu-iulian.palade@insa-lyon.fr; Fax: +33 472438529

$$\theta = \int_0^1 \int_{S_2} u_1 u_2 F \mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}s \tag{1.2}$$ 0i2

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial s^2} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \cdot (\mathcal{G}_0(u)F) \tag{1.3}$$ 0i3

In the above, the notations are common to the mathematical and the related continuum mechanics, rheology, and polymer physics literature: $v = v(x,t)$ is the scalar velocity field, $\theta = \theta(x,t)$ is the stress, and $F(t,u,s,x)$ the configurational probability function. The flow occurs in the x direction during time t, $s \in (0,1)$ is the polymer chain's primitive path curvilinear coordinate, and $u = (u_1 u_2, u_3)$ the unitary vector pointing outwardly the unit sphere S_2. Similar to notations in [6], $\mathcal{G}_0(u) = M \cdot u - (M : uu)u$, with

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{1.4}$$

To the system of equations (1.1)-(1.3) we assign the following boundary and initial conditions:

$$\begin{cases} v = 0, \text{ for } x \in \partial\Omega \\ v = v_0, \text{ for } t = 0 \\ F = \dfrac{1}{4\pi}, \text{ for } s = 0 \text{ or } s = 1 \\ F = F_0, \text{ for } t = 0 \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$ 0ibc

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ is the range for x, while $v_0(x)$ and $F_0(u,s,x)$ are initial data.

From [6] one sees the equation (1.3) for F can be solved allowing the obtainment of θ as a function of the velocity gradient $\dfrac{\partial v}{\partial x}$. In particular, for $F_0 = 1/(4\pi)$ one gets:

$$\theta = -g_{DE}\left(\int_0^t \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x,\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau\right) a_{DE}(t) + \int_0^t g_{DE}\left(\int_\tau^t \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x,r)\mathrm{d}r\right) a'_{DE}(t-\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau \tag{1.6}$$ 0i4

with $g_{DE} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$g_{DE}(y) = -\int_{S_2} \frac{u_1 u_2}{\left[(u_1 - u_2 y)^2 + u_2^2 + u_3^2\right]^{3/2}}\mathrm{d}u,\ \forall y \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.7}$$ 0i5

and $a_{DE} : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$

$$a_{DE}(t) = \sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(2p+1)^2} e^{-(2p+1)^2 t} \tag{1.8}$$ 0i6

the relaxation function of the DE model.

From the above considerations one infers the shear flow problem under scrutiny is tantamount to solving for v the below integro-differential equation:

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} g_{DE}\left(\int_0^t \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x,\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau\right) a_{DE}(t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\int_0^t g_{DE}\left(\int_\tau^t \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x,r)\mathrm{d}r\right) a'_{DE}(t-\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau,\ t > 0 \tag{1.9}$$ 0i7

Now equation (1.9) - here obtained on molecular dynamics grounds - has been focused on within the area of viscoelastic fluids as it comes out when one studies shear flows for the K-BKZ fluids. There is no contingency here as in their 1978 original paper [6], Doi and Edwards have shown the simplified IAA version of their nonlinear model actually enters the class of K-BKZ integral models, which are based on continuum mechanics concepts (for more on see [1], [13], [16]). Consequently, when undertaking the study of certain particular flows of DE fluids one may capitalize on previously obtained results for K-BKZ liquids.

In this paper we study equation (1.9) with more general functions g and a replacing g_{DE} and a_{DE}, respectively. We prove a global in time solution existence result for small enough data. Uniqueness is the focus of an upcoming paper [11]. Equation (1.9) - as well as variants of it - was studied by various authors, see Renardy, Hrusa and Nohel [15], Engler [8], Brandon and Hrusa [2] and references cited therein.

The existence of local in time solutions [15] and of global solutions [8], [2] are known under more restrictive conditions compared to those stated in this paper. One of the assumptions in [8] and [2] is $g'(y) < -\gamma$, for any $y \in \mathbb{R}$, with $\gamma > 0$, which is not verified by the function $g = g_{DE}$. Here we make use of the less restrictive assumption $g'(y) < 0$, for any $y \in [-\theta, \theta]$, with $\theta > 0$, and show that the argument of g' is confined to $[-\theta, \theta]$. The requirement $g' < 0$ is a necessary hyperbolicity condition for the solution local existence. For the work presented in this paper, this condition being valid only locally makes it necessary to control, w.r.t. time t, the argument $\displaystyle\int_0^t \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x,\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau$ of g'. Observe that at a first sight, this argument may become large with increasing t.

Next, among the restrictive hypotheses invoked by the authors of [2] for function a is that $a'' \in L^1(0,+\infty)$, which $a = a_{DE}$ does not verify. Comparatively, here we shall place significantly less restrictions on a and accordingly will construct a class of totally monotone functions, an element of which is $a = a_{DE}$.

The manuscript is organized as following:

In Section 2 we introduce the problem and enunciate the main result.

Section 3 is devoted to the proof of several necessary results such as a Gårding type inequality and an inversion formula for the operator $u \mapsto a * u$ which differs from the one given in [2].

In Section 4 we introduce an approximated problem and obtain useful estimates for its solution. In particular we obtain an estimate for the argument of g' with the help of a maximal function. The proof of the main result is achieved in Section 5.

In the ending Section 6 we construct a class of totally monotone functions that is compatible with the hypothesis made about a.

2 Presentation of the problem and of the main results.

Let from now on $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded, open interval. Let the functions $f : \Omega \times [0,+\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$, $g : I \subset \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, with $I \ni 0$ an open interval, $v_0 : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, $a : [0,+\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$.

The aim is to search for a solution $v : \Omega \times [0,+\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ to the below given initial boundary value problem:

$$v_t(x,t) = -a(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} g\left(\int_0^t v_x(x,s)\mathrm{d}s\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\int_0^t g\left(\int_s^t v_x(x,\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau\right) a'(t-s)\mathrm{d}s + f(x,t) \quad (2.1)$$

$$v(x,t=0) = v_0(x),\ \forall x \in \Omega, \text{ and } v(x,t) = 0,\ \forall t < 0 \quad (2.2)$$

$$v = 0,\ \forall x \in \partial\Omega, \forall t \geq 0 \quad (2.3)$$

In the above, $v_x \equiv \dfrac{\partial v}{\partial x}$ and a' stands for the derivative of a. Throughout this paper, any

function defined for $t \geq 0$ is understood as being set equal to 0 for $t < 0$, i.e. it has domain $\mathbb{R}$. Moreover, for a function $\varphi \in W^{k,1}(0,+\infty)$ we denote by $\varphi^{(k)}$ the distributional derivative of φ on $\mathbb{R}_+^*$, derivative which is understood to be extended to $\mathbb{R}$ by 0. Define

$$\bar{v}^t(x,s) := \int_{t-s}^{t} v(x,\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau,\ 0 \leq s,t;\ x \in \Omega$$

Equation (2.1) now takes on a simpler form:

$$v_t(x,t) = \int_0^{+\infty} a'(s)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} g\left(\bar{v}_x^t(x,s)\right)\mathrm{d}s + f(x,t) \tag{2.4}$$

Drawing inspiration from [2], (2.4) can be re-written as

$$v_t(x,t) + g'(0)\int_0^t a(t-s)v_{xx}(x,s)\mathrm{d}s = f(x,t) + \mathcal{G}(x,t) \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}(x,t) &= \int_0^{+\infty} a'(s)\left[g'\left(\bar{v}_x^t(x,s)\right) - g'(0)\right]\bar{v}_{xx}^t(x,s)\mathrm{d}s \\ &= \int_0^t v_{xx}(x,s)\int_{t-s}^{+\infty} a'(\tau)\left[g'\left(\bar{v}_x^t(x,\tau)\right) - g'(0)\right]\mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}s \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Convolution with respect to t is denoted as usually by $*$; therefore (2.5) can be re-written in a more close form as

$$v_t + g'(0)a * v_{xx} = f + \mathcal{G}$$

We now proceed to presenting several constitutive assumptions. The function g is taken such that:

(g_1). there exist $\theta \in [0,1]$ and $K > 0$, such that $g \in \mathscr{C}^3([-\theta,\theta],\mathbb{R})$ and $\left|g^{(3)}(y) - g^{(3)}(0)\right| \leq K|y|$, $\forall y \in [-\theta,\theta]$

(g_2). $g(0) = g''(0) = 0$

(g_3). $g'(0) < 0$

The function f is such that

(f_1). $f, f_x, f_t \in \mathscr{C}_b^0([0,+\infty);L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0,+\infty);L^2(\Omega))$,

(f_2). $f_{tt} \in L^2([0,+\infty);L^2(\Omega))$, $\displaystyle\int_0^t f(x,s)\mathrm{d}s \in \mathscr{C}_b^0\left([0,+\infty);H^1(\Omega)\right)$,

where $\mathscr{C}_b^0([0,+\infty);X)$ is the set of all functions $w : [0,+\infty) \to X$ which are bounded and continous, and X is a Banach space.

Next, let v_0 be such that

$(v_0)_1$. $v_0 \in H^2(\Omega)$.

We assume that f and v_0 are compatible with the already stated initial-boundary conditions:

$$v_0(x) = f(x, t = 0) = 0, \, \forall x \in \partial\Omega \tag{2.7}$$ nbce1

Let the measures associated to f and v_0 be defined as:

$$F(f) := \sup_{t\geq 0} \int_\Omega \left[f^2 + f_x^2 + f_t^2 + \left(\int_0^t f(x,s)\mathrm{d}s \right)^2 + \left(\int_0^t f_x(x,s)\mathrm{d}s \right)^2 \right] \mathrm{d}x \tag{2.8}$$ msf

$$+ \int_0^{+\infty} \int_\Omega \left(f^2 + f_x^2 + f_t^2 + f_{tt}^2 \right)(x,t)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \tag{2.9}$$

$$V_0(v_0) = \|v_0\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)} = \int_\Omega \left[v_0^2 + (v_0')^2 + (v_0'')^2 \right](x)\mathrm{d}x \tag{2.10}$$ msv

For any function $\varphi \in L^1((0,+\infty))$ we denote by $\mathcal{F}\varphi$ (or alternatively by $\hat{\varphi}$) and $\mathcal{L}\varphi$ the corresponding Fourier and Laplace transforms, i.e.:

$$\mathcal{F}\varphi(\omega) := \int_0^{+\infty} \varphi(t) e^{-i\omega t}\mathrm{d}t, \, \forall \omega \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\mathcal{L}\varphi(z) := \int_0^{+\infty} \varphi(t) e^{-zt}\mathrm{d}t, \, \forall z \in \mathbb{C}, \mathrm{Re}z \geq 0$$

Let us now assume the function a is such that

(a_1). $a \in W^{1,1}(0,+\infty)$, $a'(t) \leq 0$ a.e. $t \geq 0$,

There exists a sequence of functions $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $a_n \in \mathscr{C}^2([0,+\infty) \cap W^{2,\infty}([0,+\infty))$ s.t.

(a_2). $a_n'(t) \leq 0 \ \ \forall\, t \geq 0$, such that $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ bounded in $W^{1,1}(0,+\infty)$ and $a_n \xrightarrow[n\to+\infty]{\mathscr{D}'(0,+\infty)} a$,

(a_3). $\sup\limits_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \left[\int_0^1 t\,|a_n''(t)|\,\mathrm{d}t + \int_1^{+\infty} \sqrt{t}\,|a_n''(t)|\,\mathrm{d}t + \int_1^{+\infty} t^2\,|a_n'(t)|\,\mathrm{d}t \right] < +\infty$,

(a_4). there exist constants $M_1 > 0$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\mathrm{Re}\left(\mathcal{F}a_n(\omega)\right) \geq \dfrac{M_1}{1+\omega^2}$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq n_0$, $\forall \omega \in \mathbb{R}$; observe that this is a strong positivity condition, common for this type of problems (see [2]).

(a_5). there exist constants $M_2 > 0$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ s.t. $\dfrac{[\mathcal{F}(a_n')]^p}{\mathcal{F}a_n} \in \mathcal{F}\left(B_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}(0,M_2)\right)$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $B_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}(0,M_2)$ denotes the ball in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ centered at 0 and of radius M_2; this assumption will be used to obtain a representation for the solution u of $a_n * u = b$ (see Theorem 3.1).

Remark 2.1. *In Section 6 we shall construct a class of functions compliant with assumptions* (a_1) *to* (a_5)*. This class contains the Doi-Edwards relaxation kernel* $a_{DE} : [0,+\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$*,*

$$a_{DE}(t) = \sum_{k\geq 1} \frac{1}{(2k+1)^2} e^{-(2k+1)^2 t} \tag{2.11}$$ tila

Also, since $g_{DE} \in \mathscr{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ *is an odd function and* $g_{DE}'(0) = -3\displaystyle\int_{S_2} u_1^2 u_2^2 \mathrm{d}u < 0$*, then* g_{DE} *also verifies* (g_1)*-*(g_4) *and this paper results equally apply to the function* g_{DE}*.*

The main result of this paper is stated below:

mr **Theorem 2.1 (Main Result).** *Assume that the hypotheses on the data given in* (g_1)*-*(g_4)*,* (f_1)*-*(f_2)*,* $(v_0)_1$*,* (a_1)*-*(a_5) *and* (2.7) *hold true. Then there exists a* $\delta > 0$ *such that, if the additional smallness assumption* $F(f) + V_0(v_0) \leq \delta$ *is verified, then there exists at least a solution*

$$v \in \left\{ \bigcap_{m=0}^{2} W^{m,\infty}\left((0,+\infty); H^{2-m}(\Omega)\right) \right\} \cap \left\{ \bigcap_{m=0}^{2} W^{m,2}\left((0,+\infty); H^{2-m}(\Omega)\right) \right\}$$

with

$$\int_0^t v(x,s)\mathrm{d}s \in L^{\infty}\left((0,+\infty); H^{3}(\Omega)\right)$$

to the problem (2.4)*,* (2.2)*-*(2.3)*.*

Next we take on to introducing (and explaining) the proof stages for the aforementioned Theorem 2.1. In short, first we obtain a regularized problem (P_n) obtained from (2.5) with a being replaced by a sequence a_n satisfying hypotheses (a_1) to (a_4). Doing this allows to obtain a local in time existence and uniqueness result capitalizing on Renardy's result in [16]. Next goal is to obtain estimates independent of n granting the global existence of the solution for the approximated problem (P_n) and in the end, letting $n \to +\infty$, obtaining our result. How to get these estimates is explained below.

Let $u(x,t) = \displaystyle\int_0^t v(x,\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau$. For any $t > 0$, let $\mathcal{E}(t)$ stand for the sum of squared $L_t^{\infty}L_x^2$ norms of all derivatives in x and t of u up to third order and of all squared $L_t^2L_x^2$ norms of all derivatives in x and t of v up to second order (see (4.4)). We prove that if $\mathcal{E}(t)$ is "small" for t close to 0 (a consequence of the assumption made on data v_0 and f), then $\mathcal{E}(t)$ stays "small" for any t. We do this by obtaining an inequality of the type

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \leq \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{E}(t) + \text{"small enough" quantities depending uniquely on } V_0 \text{ and } F \tag{2.12}$$ expl1

Getting the second term in the rhs of (2.12) requires previously calculated upper bounds of v and its up to second order derivatives in x and t, and of u and its up to third order derivatives in x and t. Equation (2.5) is equivalently written as:

$$v_t + g'(0)a * v_{xx} = f + \mathcal{G} \tag{2.13}$$ expl2

Next, we calculate three energy estimates (in a way similar in nature with that of Brandon and Hrusa [2]: we derivate (2.13) i-times (with $i \in \{0,1,2\}$) w.r.t. time t , then multiply the result by $\dfrac{d^i v}{dt^i}$ and integrate on $Q_t := \Omega \times (0,t)$. To calculate the second order derivative one uses a finite difference operator $\triangle_h w(t) = w(t+h) - w(t)$, see (3.4). We sum up the resulting three equations and get an equality in which the most important term originates from the convolution part in the *lhs* of (2.13). This term reads

$$g'(0)\left[Q\left(v_x,t,a\right) + Q\left(v_{xt},t,a\right) + Q\left(v_{xtt},t,a\right)\right] \tag{2.14}$$ expl3

where $Q(w,t,a) = \displaystyle\int_0^t \int_{\Omega} w(x,s)\left(a * w\right)(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s$ (see (3.3)). We lower bound (2.14) using the Plancherel-Parseval equality and assumption (a_4) and get (with $w = 0$ outside $(0,t)$)

$$Q(w,t,a) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{M_1}{1+\omega^2}\left|\left(\mathcal{F}w\right)(x,\omega)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}\omega \tag{2.15}$$ expl4

Notice the presence of $\frac{M_1}{1+\omega^2}$ does not render the *rhs* of (2.15) sufficiently coercive, however we use it to obtain the necessary coercivity for $Q(w,t,a)+Q(w_t,t,a)$ instead of $Q(w,t,a)$. The procedure is given in sufficient detail in Lemma 3.4, which deals with a Gårding type inequality with a boundary term.

The terms denoted by $\mathcal{G}$ in (2.13) can be controlled w.r.t. well chosen norms by carrying out an integration by parts w.r.t. time t and switching the time derivatives onto a and using the fact that $ta'' \in L^1(0,1)$ (see assumption (a_3)). Eventually one upper bounds w.r.t. $L^\infty_t L^2_x$ norms v, v_x, v_t, v_{xt}, v_{tt}, and w.r.t. $L^2_t L^2_x$ norms v, v_x, v_t, v_{xt}. The results are gathered into $\mathcal{E}_1$, see (4.6). We point out that the aforementioned energy estimates do not provide norm estimates for v_{xx}. To cope with this difficulty we use (2.13) which allows to express v_{xx} as a function of v_t, f and $\mathcal{G}$ with the help of an inversion Theorem for the operator $w \mapsto a * w$ and using the previously obtained estimates. We cannot use the resolvent kernel technique like in Brandon and Hrusa [3] because in this paper case $r' \notin L^1(\mathbb{R})$ (as $a'' \notin L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$). Because of that we prove a point-wise inversion Theorem for the convolution of a assuming pretty weak constraints on a: see Theorem 3.1.

3 Preliminaries.

We shall frequently employ the following inequalities:

$$|xy| \leq \mu x^2 + \frac{1}{4\mu} y^2,\ x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \mu > 0 \tag{3.1}$$

$$\|F_1 * F_2\|_{L^p(0,T)} \leq \|F_1\|_{L^1(0,+\infty)} \|F_2\|_{L^p(0,T)}, \tag{3.2}$$

The above is true for any $T > 0$, $F_1 \in L^1(0,+\infty)$, and $F_2 \in L^p(0,T)$, with $p \geq 1$. Functions F_1 and F_2 are extended to $\mathbb{R}$ by 0.

For any $T > 0$, $w \in \mathscr{C}^0([0,T]; L^2(\Omega))$, $b \in L^1(0,+\infty)$ and $t \in [0,T]$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} Q(w,t,b) &:= \int_0^t \int_\Omega w(x,s) \int_0^s b(s-\tau) w(x,\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s \\ &= \int_0^t \int_\Omega w(x,s)(b*w)(x,s) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where w is considered as extended by 0 on $(T,+\infty)$. For any $T > 0$ and $h \in (0,T)$, we define the finite difference operator Δ_h

$$(\Delta_h w)(x,t) = w(x,t+h) - w(x,t) \tag{3.4}$$

as a linear operator from $\mathscr{C}^0([0,T-h]; L^2(\Omega))$ onto $\mathscr{C}^0([0,T]; L^2(\Omega))$.

Moreover, if $X(J)$ denotes a space of functions defined on $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $I \subset J$, then $X_I(J)$ stands for the subspace of functions $X(J)$ the supports of which are included in I (i.e. that vanish on $J - I$).

Recall that $b \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is of positive type if, for any $t \geq 0$ and any $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, it satisfies $\int_0^t \varphi(s) \int_0^s b(s-\tau)\varphi(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}s \geq 0$. Next, b is said to be of strong positive type if there exists $\epsilon > 0$ s.t. the function $b(t) - \epsilon e^{-t}$ is of positive type. Moreover, $Q_t := \Omega \times (0,t)$.

For future reference we prove the following Lemmas:

adl1 **Lemma 3.1.** *Let the mappings φ and $s \mapsto s\varphi(s)$ be elements of $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Then the function $s \mapsto \int_s^{+\infty} \varphi(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau$ belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and we have the estimate*

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \left| \int_s^{+\infty} \varphi(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau \right| \mathrm{d}s \leq \int_0^{+\infty} |s\varphi(s)|\,\mathrm{d}s$$

Proof. The proof is a direct consequence of Fubini's Theorem. □

adl2 **Lemma 3.2.** *Let $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Then:*

adl22 *(i) for any $w_1, w_2 \in L^2(Q_t)$ we have*

$$\left| \int_0^t \int_\Omega w_1(x,s)(w_2 * \varphi)(x,s)\mathrm{d}s \right| \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)} \|w_1\|_{L^2(Q_t)} \|w_2\|_{L^2(Q_t)} \tag{3.5}$$ adl23

adl24 *(ii) for any $w_3 \in L^2(\Omega)$, $w_4 \in L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))$ we have*

$$\left| \int_\Omega w_3(x)(\varphi * w_4)(x,t)\mathrm{d}x \right| \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^1(0,T)} \|w_3\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \sup_{0\leq\tau\leq t} \|w_4(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)},\ a.e.\, t \in [0,T) \tag{3.6}$$ adl25

Proof. Part (i): observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t \int_\Omega w_1(x,s)(w_2 * \varphi)(x,s)\mathrm{d}s \right| &\leq \int_\Omega \|w_1(x,\cdot)\|_{L^2(0,t)} \|(w_2 * \varphi)(x,\cdot)\|_{L^2(0,t)}\,\mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \|\varphi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)} \int_\Omega \|w_1(x,\cdot)\|_{L^2(0,t)} \|w_2(x,\cdot)\|_{L^2(0,t)}\,\mathrm{d}x \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$ adl26

which gives the result.

Part (ii): one has

$$\left| \int_\Omega w_3(x)(\varphi * w_4)(x,t)\mathrm{d}x \right| \leq \|w_3\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \int_0^t \|w_4(x,t-\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} |\varphi(\tau)|\mathrm{d}\tau \tag{3.8}$$ adl27

and the result follows. □

We continue by proving the following result:

lm1 **Lemma 3.3.** *Assume $b \in W^{1,1}((0,+\infty))$ verifies: there exists $M > 0$ s.t.*

$$Re\,[\mathcal{F}b(\omega)] \geq \frac{M}{1+\omega^2},\ \forall \omega \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.9}$$ sp1

Then:

lmh1 *(i) $b(0_+) \geq M$,*

lmh2 *(ii) $|\mathcal{L}b(z)| \geq \dfrac{M}{2(1+|z|^2)}$, $\forall z \in \mathbb{C}$, $Re(z) \geq 0$,*

lmh3 *(iii) $|\mathcal{F}b(\omega)| \geq \dfrac{\tilde{M}}{2(1+|\omega|)}$, $\forall \omega \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\tilde{M}$ may depend on b.*

Proof. Part (i) is a direct consequence of

$$b(0_+) = \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{k\to+\infty} \int_{-k}^{k} \mathcal{F}b(\omega)\mathrm{d}\omega = \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{k\to+\infty} \int_{-k}^{k} \mathrm{Re}\left[\mathcal{F}b(\omega)\right]\mathrm{d}\omega$$

and of (3.9)

Part (ii): one has $\mathrm{Re}\left[\left(\mathcal{F}e^{-t}\right)(\omega)\right] = \dfrac{1}{1+\omega^2}$. This fact, together with Theorem 2.4 on page 494 of [10] imply that the function $t \in [0,+\infty) \mapsto b(t) - Me^{-t}$ is of positive type. From the same Theorem one also gets $\mathrm{Re}\left[\mathcal{L}\left(b - Me^{-t}\right)(z)\right] \geq 0$, $\forall z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathrm{Re}(z) \geq 0$. The later in turn implies $\mathrm{Re}\left[\mathcal{L}b(z)\right] \geq M\dfrac{1+z_1}{(1+z_1)^2+z_2^2}$, $\forall z = z_1 + iz_2$ with $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $z_1 \geq 0$. The statement in (ii) now follows.

Part (iii) is a consequence of (ii) and the fact that $b \in W^{1,1}(0,+\infty)$. Indeed, from $|\mathcal{F}b(\omega)| \geq \dfrac{M}{2(1+\omega^2)}$, $\forall \omega \in \mathbb{R}$, it suffices to prove that there exist $m_1, m_2 > 0$ s.t. $|\mathcal{F}b(\omega)| \geq \dfrac{m_1}{|\omega|}$, $\forall \omega \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\omega| \geq m_2$. This follows from $\mathcal{F}b(\omega) = \dfrac{1}{i\omega}\left[\mathcal{F}b'(\omega) + b(0_+)\right]$, the fact that $\mathcal{F}b'(\omega) \underset{|\omega|\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$ and (i).

□

The following Lemma is a Gårding type inequality with boundary terms. It is proved in [3] using preliminary results due to Staffans [17] (see also [9] and [19]). Here we shorten the original proof of [3] and remove the extraneous assumptions $b \in W^{3,1}(0,+\infty)$, $b'' \geq 0$.

ah **Lemma 3.4.** *Assume $b \in L^1_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\mathbb{R})$ is such that $Re\left(\hat{b}(\omega)\right) \geq \dfrac{M_1}{1+\omega^2}$, for any $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, where $M_1 > 0$. Then, for any $T > 0$, $w \in \mathscr{C}^1\left([0,T], L^2(\Omega)\right)$ and $t \in [0,T)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_\Omega w^2(x,t)\mathrm{d}x + \int_0^t \int_\Omega w^2(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq C\left[\frac{1}{M_1}Q(w,t,b) + \frac{1}{M_1}Q(w_t,t,b) + \int_\Omega w^2(x,0)\mathrm{d}x\right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$ ah1

with $C > 0$ independent of T, t, w and b.
Moreover, if $w \in \mathscr{C}^0\left([0,T], L^2(\Omega)\right)$, then, for any $t \in [0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_\Omega w^2(x,t)\mathrm{d}x + \int_0^t \int_\Omega w^2(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq C\left[\frac{1}{M_1}Q(w,t,b) + \frac{1}{M_1}\liminf_{h\to0_+}\frac{1}{h^2}Q(\triangle_h w,t,b) + \int_\Omega w^2(x,0)\mathrm{d}x\right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$ ah2

Proof. Assuming that inequality (3.10) holds true, we undertake to proving (3.11). Let $w \in \mathscr{C}^0\left([0,T], L^2(\Omega)\right)$ and $t \in [0,T)$ be fixed. For $0 < h < (T-t)/2$, define the function $w_h \in \mathscr{C}^1\left([0,(t+T)/2], L^2(\Omega)\right)$ by

$$w_h(s) := \frac{1}{h}\int_s^{s+h} w(\sigma)\mathrm{d}\sigma,\ s \in [0,(t+T)/2) \tag{3.12}$$ ah3

Applying (3.10) to w_h and passing to the limit $\liminf_{h\to0_+}$ gives (3.11).

We now prove (3.10). Let $w \in \mathscr{C}^1([0,T], L^2(\Omega))$, $t \in [0,t)$ be fixed, and let $\tilde{w} \in L^2_{[0,t)}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\Omega))$ be defined by $\tilde{w} = w$ a.e. in $[0,t]$ and $\tilde{w} = 0$ outside. Denote by $D\tilde{w}$ the distributional derivative of $\tilde{w}$ and by $\tilde{w}'$ its regular part, i.e.

$$D\tilde{w} = \tilde{w}' + w(0)\delta_0 - w(t)\delta_t \tag{3.13}$$ ah4

Due to the Parseval identity we have

$$Q(w,t,b) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\Omega} \mathrm{Re}\left(\hat{b}(\tau)\right)\left|\widehat{\tilde{w}}(x,\tau)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}\tau \tag{3.14}$$ ah5

and a similar equation with w' instead of w as well. For $\lambda > 0$ (to be later determined) define $I(w)$ by

$$I(w) := Q(\tilde{w}', t, b) + \lambda Q(\tilde{w}, t, b) + \frac{3M_1}{2}\int_{\Omega} w^2(x,0)\mathrm{d}x \tag{3.15}$$ ah6

By (3.13) and (3.14) and the strong positivity of b,

$$I(w) \geq \frac{M_1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|i\tau\widehat{\tilde{w}}(\tau) - w(0) + w(t)e^{-i\tau t}\right|^2 + \lambda\left|\widehat{\tilde{w}}(\tau)\right|^2 + 3|w(0)|^2\right)\mathrm{d}x\frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{1+\tau^2} \tag{3.16}$$ ah7

Since for any $(a,b,c) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ we have $|a+b+c|^2 \geq \frac{|a|^2+|b|^2}{2} - 2|a||b| - 3|c|^2$, inequality (3.16) implies

$$I(w) \geq \frac{M_1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{|\tau|^2+2\lambda}{2}\left|\widehat{\tilde{w}}(\tau)\right|^2 + |w(t)|^2\beta\sqrt{|\tau|} - 2|w(t)||\tau|\left|\widehat{\tilde{w}}(\tau)\right|\right)\mathrm{d}x\frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{1+\tau^2} \tag{3.17}$$ ah8

with

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{1+\tau^2}\right) / \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{\sqrt{|\tau|}}{1+\tau^2}\mathrm{d}\tau\right) \tag{3.18}$$ ah9

But:

$$\begin{aligned} 2|w(t)||\tau|\left|\widehat{\tilde{w}}(\tau)\right| &\leq \frac{\beta}{2}\sqrt{|\tau|}|w(t)|^2 + \frac{2}{\beta}|\tau|^{3/2}\left|\widehat{\tilde{w}}(\tau)\right|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\beta}{2}\sqrt{|\tau|}|w(t)|^2 + \left(\frac{|\tau|^2}{4} + L\right)\left|\widehat{\tilde{w}}(\tau)\right|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$ ah10

with $L > 0$ independent of t, w, b. Choose $\lambda = L + 1/4$. By (3.17) and (3.19) we get

$$I(w) \geq \frac{M_1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{|\tau|^2+1}{4}\left|\widehat{\tilde{w}}(\tau)\right|^2 + \frac{\beta\sqrt{|\tau|}}{2}|w(t)|^2\right)\mathrm{d}x\frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{1+\tau^2} \tag{3.20}$$ ah11

which is (3.10).

□

We now prove that, under suitable assumptions application $w \mapsto b * w$ is invertible, and obtain an inversion formula. We use truncated Neumann series and a special assumption (see (b_3) below) in order to control the remainder term.

For $b \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, let the k-times convolution de denoted as $b^{*k} := \underbrace{b * b * \cdots * b}_{k \text{ times}}$. For $1 \leq q \leq +\infty$ and $t_0 \in (0, +\infty]$, the mapping $\mathcal{R}_{t_0,q}$ is defined by:

$$\mathcal{R}_{t_0,q} : \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} L^q_{[0,t_0)}(-\infty, t_0) & \longrightarrow W^{1,q}_{[0,t_0)}(-\infty, t_0) \\ w & \mapsto b * w \end{array} \right.$$

Here $b * w(t) := \displaystyle\int_0^t b(t-s)w(s)\mathrm{d}s$, for any $t < t_0$. We always write $\mathcal{R}$ in place of $\mathcal{R}_{+\infty,2}$.

hl0 Next, function b is assumed to comply with:

hl1 (b_1) $b \in W^{1,1}(0, +\infty)$, $b(0_+) \neq 0$,

hl2 (b_2) there exists $M > 0$, $\beta > 0$ s.t.

$$|\mathcal{L}b(z)| \geq \frac{M}{1 + |z|^\beta}, \, \forall z \in \mathbb{C}, \mathrm{Re}(z) \geq 0 \tag{3.21}$$ hl3

hl4 (b_3) there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $p \geq 2$ s.t.

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\frac{(\mathcal{F}b')^p}{\mathcal{F}b}\right] \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \tag{3.22}$$ hl5

Notice that (b_1) and (b_2) imply the following: there exists $M > 0$ s.t.

$$|\mathcal{F}b(\omega)| \geq \frac{M}{1 + |\omega|}, \, \forall \omega \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.23}$$ hl7

(see the proof of part (iii) in Lemma lm1 3.3).

Our goal is to prove the following inversion Theorem:

il1 **Theorem 3.1 (Inversion Theorem).** *Let the assumptions (b_1) - (b_3) hold true. Then:*

il2 *(i) for any $1 \leq q \leq +\infty$ and $t_0 \in (0, +\infty]$, the mapping $\mathcal{R}_{t_0,q}$ is a Banach isomorphism;*

il3 *(ii) functions B_1, B_2 that depend only on b and are being given by*

$$B_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} (-1)^k \frac{(b')^{*k}}{b^{k+1}(0_+)} \tag{3.24}$$ il4

$$B_2 = \frac{(-1)^p}{b^p(0_+)} \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\frac{(\mathcal{F}b')^p}{\mathcal{F}b}\right], \tag{3.25}$$ il5

belong to $L^1_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\mathbb{R})$;

il6 *(iii) for any $l \in W^{1,q}_{[0,t_0)}(-\infty, t_0)$, one has*

$$\mathcal{R}^{-1}_{t_0,q}(l) = \frac{l'}{b(0_+)} + B_1 * l' + B_2 * l \tag{3.26}$$ il7

For the proof we first need to introduce and prove two preliminary Lemmas.

pl1 **Lemma 3.5.** *Assume that $b \in W^{1,1}\left(\mathbb{R}_+^*\right)$, $b(0_+) \neq 0$. Let $1 \leq q \leq +\infty$, $t_0 \in (0,+\infty)$. Then $\mathcal{R}_{t_0,q}$ is a continuous injection.*

Proof. We begin by showing $\mathcal{R}_{t_0,q}$ is well defined and continous. Since $b \in W^{1,1}\left(\mathbb{R}_+^*\right)$, it is clear that for any $w \in L^q_{[0,t_0)}(-\infty,t_0)$, the function $b * w$ belongs to $W^{1,q}_{[0,t_0)}(-\infty,t_0)$. Moreover, $(b*w)' = [b(0_+)w + b' * w]$. Hence

$$\|\mathcal{R}_{t_0,q}(w)\|_{W^{1,q}(0,t_0)} \leq \left[|b(0_+)| + \|b\|_{W^{1,1}\left(\mathbb{R}_+^*\right)}\right] \|w\|_{L^q(0,t_0)} \tag{3.27}$$ pl12

which proves $\mathcal{R}_{t_0,q}$ is indeed continous.

Next, assume $w \in L^q_{[0,t_0)}(-\infty,t_0)$ satisfies $\mathcal{R}_{t_0,q}(w) = 0$. Derivating the later leads to

$$w(s) + \int_0^s \frac{b'(s-\tau)}{b(0_+)} w(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau = 0, \text{ a.e. } s < t_0 \tag{3.28}$$ pl13

Multiply (3.28) by $e^{-\theta s}$, $\theta > 0$, and set $w_1(s) = e^{-\theta s}w(s)$, $b_1(s) = \dfrac{b'(s)}{b(0_+)}e^{-\theta s}$. Equality (3.28) can now be re-written as

$$w_1(s) + \int_0^t b_1(s-\tau)w_1(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau = 0, \text{ a.e. } s < t_0 \tag{3.29}$$ pl14

It implies that

$$\|w_1\|_{L^q(0,t_0)} \leq \|b_1\|_{L^1\left(\mathbb{R}_+^*\right)} \|w_1\|_{L^q(0,t_0)} \tag{3.30}$$ pl15

Notice that $\|b_1\|_{L^1} = \displaystyle\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\theta s}\frac{|b'(s)|}{|b(0_+)|}\mathrm{d}s \underset{\theta\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$. Pick up a $\theta > 0$ large enough s.t. $\|b_1\|_{L^1\left(\mathbb{R}_+^*\right)} < 1$. From (3.30) we get $\|w_1\|_{L^1(0,t)} = 0$. Finally $w = 0$ and $\mathcal{R}_{t_0,q}$ is an injection mapping.

□

pl2 **Lemma 3.6.** *The Theorem 3.1 holds true for $t_0 = +\infty$ and $q = 2$.*

Proof. The proof consists of three steps.

Step 1.

First we prove $\mathcal{R}$ is a Banach isomorphism. Due to Lemma 3.5, one only needs to prove $\mathcal{R}$ is surjective. To begin with, one establishes that, for any $w \in L^2_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\mathbb{R})$, one has (with $M > 0$ the constant in (3.23))

$$\|w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}M}\|\mathcal{R}(w)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \tag{3.31}$$ pl24

Actually using Parseval's identity and (3.23) one gets

$$\sqrt{2\pi}\|w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|\mathcal{F}w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \left\|\frac{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{R}(w)}{\mathcal{F}b}\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{1}{M}\left\|(1+|\omega|)\mathcal{F}\mathcal{R}(w)\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \tag{3.32}$$ pl25

Since $(1+|\omega|) \leq \sqrt{2(1+\omega^2)}$, inequality (3.32) implies inequality (3.31). Next, inequalities (3.27) and (3.31) prove that $\mathcal{R}\left(L^2_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ is closed. Therefore, in order to prove that $\mathcal{R}$ is surjective it is sufficient to show that the dense subset $\left(\mathscr{C}_c^\infty\right)_{(0,+\infty)}(\mathbb{R})$ of $H^1_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\mathbb{R})$ is included in $\mathcal{R}\left(L^2_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\mathbb{R})\right)$.

Let $r \in (\mathscr{C}_c^\infty)_{(0,+\infty)}(\mathbb{R})$. We search for $w \in L^2_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\mathbb{R})$ s.t. $b * w = r$. Since we are unable to identify the support of w by Fourier transform, we use Laplace transform instead. Consider the function

$$z \in \{z \in \mathbb{C} / \operatorname{Re}(z) \geq 0\} \mapsto \frac{\mathcal{L}r(z)}{\mathcal{L}b(z)} \in \mathbb{C}$$

which is well defined based on (b_2) and the fact that $r \in (\mathscr{C}_c^\infty)_{(0,+\infty)}(\mathbb{R})$. This function is clearly continuous on $\operatorname{Re}(z) \geq 0$ and analytic on $\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$. As for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{L}r^{(\gamma)}(z) = z^\gamma \mathcal{L}r(z)$, and as $r^{(\gamma)} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, we deduce that there exists $m_1 \geq 0$ s.t.

$$|\mathcal{L}r(z)| \leq \frac{m_1}{1+|z|^{\beta+2}}, \forall z \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re}(z) \geq 0$$

Now it easily follows the existence of $m_2 \geq 0$ s.t.

$$\left|\frac{\mathcal{L}r(z)}{\mathcal{L}b(z)}\right| \leq \frac{m_2}{1+|z|^2}, \forall z \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re}(z) \geq 0 \tag{3.33}$$ pl26

Next, with the help of Bromwich-Mellin formula, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and for fixed $x > 0$, define w as

$$w(t) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{t(x+iy)} \frac{\mathcal{L}r}{\mathcal{L}b}(x+iy) \mathrm{d}y \tag{3.34}$$ pl27

Owing to Cauchy's formula and invoking (3.33), w thus defined is independent of $x > 0$. Also, for fixed $t < 0$, letting $x \to +\infty$ in (3.34) leads to $w(t) = 0$. This is $w(t) = 0$ for any $t < 0$. Next, for any fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}$, using Lebesgue's Theorem we calculate the limit for $x \to 0$ of (3.34) and obtain $w = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{\mathcal{F}r}{\mathcal{F}b}\right)$. By Parseval's identity and by (3.33), w is clearly an element of $L^2_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\mathbb{R})$ and satisfies $\mathcal{R}(w) = r$. Therefore $\mathcal{R}$ is surjective.

Step 2.

The task now is proving the representation formula. Let $w \in L^2_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\mathbb{R})$ and set $l = \mathcal{R}(w)$. Derivation of the later gives

$$w + \frac{b'}{b(0_+)} * w = \frac{l'}{b(0_+)} \tag{3.35}$$ pl28

Convolute (3.35) with the operator $\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (-1)^k \left(\frac{b'}{b(0_+)}\right)^{*k} *$ (by convention $\left(\frac{b'}{b(0_+)}\right)^{*0} = \delta_0$). We obtain:

$$w = \frac{l'}{b(0_+)} + (B_1 * l') + \frac{(-1)^p}{b^p(0_+)} \left[(b')^{*p} * w\right] \tag{3.36}$$ pl29

Since $l = b * w$, we get $\mathcal{F}l = \mathcal{F}b\mathcal{F}w$. Hence

$$\mathcal{F}\left[(b')^{*p} * w\right] = (\mathcal{F}b')^p \frac{\mathcal{F}l}{\mathcal{F}b} \tag{3.37}$$ pl230

By hypothesis (b_3), $\frac{(\mathcal{F}b')^p}{\mathcal{F}b} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, which proves that inequality (3.37) holds in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ since $\mathcal{F}l \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. This fact allows to state that $\frac{(-b')^{*p}}{b^p(0_+)} * w = B_2 * l$ with B_2 given by (3.25). Now, for any $w \in L^2_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\mathbb{R})$ and $l = \mathcal{R}(w)$, (3.36) gives the representation formula

$$w = \frac{l'}{b(0_+)} + B_1 * l' + B_2 * l \tag{3.38}$$ pl231

Step 3.

Let us now show that the support of B_1 and that of B_2 are included in $\mathbb{R}_+$.

Since the support of b' is in $\mathbb{R}_+$, B_1 also has its support in $\mathbb{R}_+$ due to formula (3.24). Let $\rho \in \mathscr{D}_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\mathbb{R})$ and set $w = \mathcal{R}^{-1}(\rho)$ (see **Step 1.**). Equation (3.38) now ensures that, a.e. $t < 0$,

$$0 = w(t) = \frac{\rho'(t)}{b(0_+)} + (B_1 * \rho')(t) + (B_2 * \rho)(t) \tag{3.39}$$ pl232

Since $\rho'(s) = 0$ a.e. $s < 0$ and since B_1 has support in $\mathbb{R}_+$, we get

$$(B_2 * \rho)(t) = 0, \text{ a.e. } t < 0 \tag{3.40}$$ pl233

Take $\rho \geq 0$, $\rho \neq 0$, and set $\rho_n(t) = n\rho(nt)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We know that:

$$B_2 * \rho_n \xrightarrow[n\to+\infty]{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \|\rho\|_{L^1} B_2 \tag{3.41}$$ pl234

Taking $\rho = \rho_n$ in (3.40) and using (3.41) we obtain $B_2 = 0$ a.e. $t < 0$. Hence B_2 has support in $\mathbb{R}_+$.

□

We are now in a position allowing to prove the previously stated Inversion Theorem 3.1.

Proof. **Proof of the Inversion Theorem 3.1**

Let $q \in [1, +\infty)$ and $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \cup \{+\infty\}$. Define the mapping $\mathcal{S}_{t_0,q}$ by:

$$\mathcal{S}_{t_0,q} = \begin{cases} W^{1,q}_{[0,t_0)}(-\infty, t_0) & \longrightarrow L^q_{[0,t_0)}(-\infty, t_0) \\ l & \mapsto \dfrac{l'}{b(0_+)} + B_1 * l' + B_2 * l \end{cases}$$

with $B_1, B_2 \in L^1_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\mathbb{R})$ given by (3.24)-(3.25). Clearly $\mathcal{S}_{t_0,q}$ is well defined and continuous.

We begin by studying the case $t_0 = +\infty$.

Notice that $\mathcal{S}_{+\infty,q} \circ \mathcal{R}_{+\infty,q}$ restricted to $D = L^q_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\mathbb{R})$ is the identity (see Lemma 3.6). Since D is dense in $L^q_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\mathbb{R})$, and $\mathcal{S}_{+\infty,q}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{+\infty,q}$ are continuous, we find that $\mathcal{S}_{+\infty,q} \circ \mathcal{R}_{+\infty,q}$ is the identity on $L^q_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\mathbb{R})$. Similarly, $\mathcal{R}_{+\infty,q} \circ \mathcal{S}_{+\infty,q}$ is the identity on $W^{1,q}_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\mathbb{R})$. This proves the Theorem for $t_0 = +\infty$.

Assume now that $t_0 > 0$ and $q \in [1, +\infty]$. We know from Lemma 3.5 that $\mathcal{R}_{t_0,q}$ is continuous and injective. We now prove that $\mathcal{R}_{t_0,q}$ is surjective and that $\mathcal{S}_{t_0,q}$ is its inverse. Let $l \in W^{1,q}_{[0,t_0)}(-\infty, t_0)$ and extend l into $L \in W^{1,q}_{[0,2t_0)}(\mathbb{R})$ by reflexion

$$L(t) = \begin{cases} l(t) & \text{for } t < t_0 \\ l(2t_0 - t) & \text{for } t > t_0 \end{cases}$$

Let $W = (\mathcal{S}_{+\infty,q})(L)$ and define $w \in L^q_{[0,t_0)}(-\infty, t_0)$ as the restriction of W to $(-\infty, t_0)$. Then, $b * w = b * W = l$ on $(-\infty, t_0)$, and:

$$w = W = \frac{L'}{b(0_+)} + B_1 * L' + B_2 * L, \text{ on } (-\infty, t_0) \tag{3.42}$$ il8

This is $w = \mathcal{S}_{t_0,q}(l)$. This proves the Theorem.

□

Notice that from hypotheses (a_1), (a_4), (a_5) and Lemma 3.3, the above Inversion Theorem can be used with $b = a_n$.

4 Approximated problems and estimates.

appe

4.1 Approximated and local problems. Preliminary notations and estimates.

Remark that a is not smooth enough to ensure a straightforward local in time existence result for a solution v to our problem. As a consequence we study the following approximated problem which we denote by P_n.

ap1 **Problem $\mathbf{P_n}$**: find $v_n : \Omega \times [0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

ap2 $(P_n)_1$ $(v_n)_t = \displaystyle\int_0^{+\infty} a_n'(s) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g\left(\left(\overline{v}_n^t\right)_x\right)(x,s)\mathrm{d}s + f(x,t)$

ap3 $(P_n)_2$ $v_n = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, $v_n(t) = 0$, $\forall t < 0$

ap4 $(P_n)_3$ $v_n(x,0) = v_0(x)$ for $x \in \Omega$

Given the assumptions on g we conclude there exist $\gamma > 0$ and $\theta \in [0,1]$ s.t.

$$g'(y) < -\gamma,\ \forall y \in [-\theta, \theta] \tag{4.1}$$ ap5

Clearly we can take the same θ as in assumption (g_1). Moreover, there exists $K > 0$ s.t.

$$|g'(y) - g'(0)| \leq K y^2,\ \forall y \in [-\theta, \theta] \tag{4.2}$$ ap7

In the above one may consider the same K as in (g_1).
Let us denote, for almost every $x \in \Omega$,

$$u_n(x,t) = \int_0^t v_n(x,s)\, ds.$$

The proof of the next Proposition is very similar to that of Theorem III.10 in [15] rhn and is omitted.

pr **Proposition 4.1.** *Assume that the hypotheses (g_1)-(g_3), (f_1)-(f_4), (v_0), and (a_1)-(a_5) on the data hold true. Then the initial value problem $(P_n)_1$, $(P_n)_2$, $(P_n)_3$ has a unique solution v_n defined on a maximal time interval $[0, T_n)$, $T_n > 0$, and s.t. $v_n \in \mathscr{C}^0\left([0,T_n); H^2(\Omega)\right)$, $(v_n)_t \in \mathscr{C}^0\left([0,T_n); H^1(\Omega)\right)$, $(v_n)_{tt} \in \mathscr{C}^0\left([0,T_n); L^2(\Omega)\right)$ and $u_n \in \mathscr{C}^0\left([0,T_n); H^3(\Omega)\right)$. Moreover, if*

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T_n)} \left\{ \|v_n(\cdot,t)\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|(v_n)_t(\cdot,t)\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|(v_n)_{tt}(\cdot,t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|u_n(\cdot,t)\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} \right\} < \infty \tag{4.3}$$ pr1

and

$$\sup_{\substack{x\in\Omega \\ 0\leq t\leq T_n}} |(u_n)_x(x,t)| \leq \frac{\theta}{2}$$

with θ as in (g_4), then $T_n = +\infty$.

Notice that our functional framework is different from that of [2] phi. As a consequence, here it is necessary to obtain new estimates on $\|u_n\|_{H^3(\Omega)}$.

In this Section we obtain the necessary estimates to proving $T_n = +\infty$. These estimates will be proved to be independent of n, fact which allows to pass to the limit as $n \to +\infty$. To simplify notations, we drop the subscript n of a_n, v_n and T_n.

Drawing inspiration from [2], we introduce the following expressions:

$$\mathcal{E}(t)=\sup_{s\in[0,t)}\left[\int_\Omega\left(v^2+v_x^2+v_t^2+v_{xx}^2+v_{xt}^2+v_{tt}^2+u^2+u_x^2+u_{xx}^2+u_{xxx}^2\right)(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\right]$$
$$+\int_0^t\int_\Omega\left(v^2+v_x^2+v_t^2+v_{xx}^2+v_{xt}^2+v_{tt}^2\right)(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \tag{4.4}$$ pr2

and

$$\nu(t)=\sup_{\substack{x\in\Omega\\ s\in[0,t]}}\left[\sqrt{\left(v^2+v_x^2+v_t^2\right)(x,s)}\right]+\sqrt{\int_0^t\sup_{x\in\Omega}\left(v_x(x,s)\right)^2\mathrm{d}s} \tag{4.5}$$ pr3

For simplicity let us denote

$$\mathcal{E}_1(t)=\sup_{s\in[0,t)}\left[\int_\Omega\left(v^2+v_x^2+v_t^2+v_{xt}^2+v_{tt}^2\right)(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\right]+$$
$$\int_0^t\int_\Omega\left(v^2+v_x^2+v_t^2+v_{xt}^2\right)(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \tag{4.6}$$ imeq1

In fact $\mathcal{E}_1(t)$ collects the terms of $\mathcal{E}(t)$ which will be estimated in a first step with the help of energy estimates.

Remark that, due to Sobolev inequalities, there exists a constant $C_\Omega>0$ s.t.

$$\nu(t)\leq C_\Omega\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(t)},\ \forall t\in[0,T) \tag{4.7}$$ pr4

and

$$\sup_{x\in\Omega}|u_x(x,t)|\leq C_\Omega\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(t)},\ \forall t\in[0,T) \tag{4.8}$$ pr41

Next, from (2.6) we get

$$\mathcal{G}_t(x,t)=v_{xx}(x,t)\int_0^{+\infty}a'(s)\left[g'\left(\overline{v}_x^t(x,s)\right)-g'(0)\right]\mathrm{d}s$$
$$-\int_0^t v_{xx}(x,s)a'(t-s)\left[g'\left(\overline{v}_x^t(x,t-s)\right)-g'(0)\right]\mathrm{d}s$$
$$+\int_0^t v_{xx}(x,s)\int_{t-s}^{+\infty}a'(\tau)g''\left(\overline{v}_x^t(x,\tau)\right)\left[v_x(x,t)-v_x(x,t-\tau)\right]\mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}s \tag{4.9}$$ pr5

All subsequent estimates will be obtained under the following smallness hypothesis on $\mathcal{E}(t)$:

$$\mathcal{E}(t)\leq\frac{\theta^2}{4C_\Omega^2},\ \forall t\in[0,T) \tag{4.10}$$ pr6

which implies

$$\sup_{\substack{x\in\Omega\\ 0\leq t\leq T}}|u_x(x,t)|\leq\frac{\theta}{2} \tag{4.11}$$ pr61

Then

$$\sup_{\substack{x\in\Omega\\0\le s\le t\le T}}\left|\overline{v}_x^t(x,s)\right|\le\theta,\ \text{a.e.}\, x\in\Omega \tag{4.12}$$ pr62

Let $r_0:\mathbb{R}_+\to\mathbb{R}_+$, $r_0(s):=\min\left\{s,\sqrt{s}\right\}$. We have the following estimates:

le **Lemma 4.1.** *Let $t\in[0,T)$, assume (4.10) is satisfied. Then:*

le1 *(i)* $\left|g^{(j)}\left(\overline{v}_x^t(x,s)\right)-g^{(j)}(0)\right|\le K\min\left\{\nu(t)r_0(s),\theta\right\}$ *a.e.* $x\in\Omega$, $s\in[0,t]$, $j=0,1,2,3$

le2 *(ii)* $|\mathcal{G}(x,t)|\le K\nu(t)\left[|v_{xx}(x,\cdot)|*\psi\right](t)$, *a.e.* $x\in\Omega$

le3 *(iii)* $|\mathcal{G}_t(x,t)|\le K\nu(t)\overline{a}\,|v_{xx}(x,t)|+K\nu(t)\left[|v_{xx}(x,\cdot)|*\psi\right](t)$, *a.e.* $x\in\Omega$,

where

$$\overline{a}=\int_0^{+\infty}|a'(s)|\,r_0(s)\mathrm{d}s \tag{4.13}$$ le4

$$\psi(t)=|a'(t)|\,r_0(t)+2\int_t^{+\infty}|a'(\tau)|\,r_0(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau \tag{4.14}$$ le5

rk1 **Remark 4.1.** *Lemma 3.1 and the assumptions made about function a grant the fact that ψ in (4.14) is s.t. $\psi\in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$.*

Proof. (i) On one hand, as a consequence of (g_1) and (4.12) we have

$$\left|g^{(j)}\left(\overline{v}_x^t(x,s)\right)-g^{(j)}(0)\right|\le K\left|\overline{v}_x^t(x,s)\right|,\ j=0,1,2,3 \tag{4.15}$$ le6

On the other hand,

$$\left|\overline{v}_x^t(x,s)\right|\le\int_{t-s}^t|v_x(x,\lambda)|\,\mathrm{d}\lambda\le s\sup_{t-s\le\lambda\le t}|v_x(x,\lambda)|\le s\nu(t) \tag{4.16}$$ le7

and

$$\left|\overline{v}_x^t(x,s)\right|\le\sqrt{s}\left[\int_{t-s}^t|v_x(x,\lambda)|^2\,\mathrm{d}\lambda\right]^{1/2}\le\sqrt{s}\nu(t) \tag{4.17}$$ le8

which gives the result.
(ii) From (2.6) and (i) above one gets:

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{G}(x,t)|&\le K\nu(t)\int_0^t|v_{xx}(x,s)|\int_{t-s}^{+\infty}a'(\tau)\min\{\tau,\sqrt{\tau}\}\mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}s\\&\le K\nu(t)\int_0^t|v_{xx}(x,s)|\,\psi(t-s)\mathrm{d}s\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$ le9

from which the result follows.
(iii) We use (4.9), (g_1), (i), the fact that $g''(0)=0$ and $0\le\theta\le1$ to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{G}_t(x,t)|&\le K\,|v_{xx}(x,t)|\,\nu(t)\int_0^{+\infty}|a'(s)|r_0(s)\mathrm{d}s\\&\quad+K\nu(t)\int_0^t|v_{xx}(x,s)|\,|a'(t-s)|\,r_0(t-s)\mathrm{d}s\\&\quad+2K\theta\nu(t)\int_0^t|v_{xx}(x,s)|\int_{t-s}^{+\infty}|a'(\tau)|r_0(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}s\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$ le10

which gives the result.

4.2 Energy estimates.

□

The next Lemmas give energy estimates for the terms in $\mathcal{E}_1(t)$ (see (4.6)), as in [2].
In what follows, the notation $C > 0$ stands for a generic constant that is independent of n.

eel **Lemma 4.2.** *Assume the inequality* (4.10) *holds true. Then*

$$\int_\Omega v^2(x,t)\mathrm{d}x - 2g'(0)Q\left(v_x,t,a\right) \leq V_0 + 2\sqrt{F}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(t)} + 2K\|\psi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)}\nu(t)\mathcal{E}(t) \tag{4.20}$$ eel1

Proof. For a fixed $t \in (0,T_0)$, we multiply (2.5) by $v(x,t)$ and integrate on Ω and on $(0,t)$. We get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega v^2(x,t)\mathrm{d}x - \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega v_0^2\mathrm{d}x - g'(0)Q(v_x,t,a)\\ &= \int_0^t\int_\Omega f(x,s)v(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t\int_\Omega \mathcal{G}(x,s)v(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$ eel2

Observe that $\displaystyle\int_0^t\int_\Omega fv\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \leq \|f\|_{L^2(Q_t)}\|v\|_{L^2(Q_t)} \leq \sqrt{F}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}$.
Now, using Lemma 4.1 we get

$$\left|\int_0^t\int_\Omega \mathcal{G}(x,s)v(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s\right| \leq K\nu(t)\int_0^t\int_\Omega |v(x,s)|\left(|v_{xx}| * |\psi|\right)(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s$$

Using part (i) of Lemma 3.2 with $w_1 = v$, $w_2 = v_{xx}$ and $\varphi = |\psi|$ one gets

$$\left|\int_0^t\int_\Omega \mathcal{G}(x,s)v(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s\right| \leq K\nu(t)\|\psi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)}\mathcal{E}(t),$$

thus ending the proof. □

eel3 **Lemma 4.3.** *Let $\overline{a}$ and ψ be given by* (4.13) *and* (4.14)*, respectively. Under the assumption that* (4.10) *is fulfilled , one has the following inequality:*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega v_t^2(x,t)\mathrm{d}x - 2g'(0)Q\left(v_{xt},t,a\right) &\leq F + 2\|a\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)}\sqrt{V_0}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(t)}\\ &\quad + 2\sqrt{F}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(t)} + 2K\left(\|\psi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)} + \overline{a}\right)\nu(t)\mathcal{E}(t)\end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$ eel4

Proof. First, we derivate (2.5) w.r.t. t and obtain

$$v_{tt}(x,t) + g'(0)a(0)v_{xx}(x,t) + g'(0)\int_0^t a'(t-s)v_{xx}(x,s)\mathrm{d}s = f_t + \mathcal{G}_t \tag{4.23}$$ eel5

Secondly, multiplying the above by v_t and integrating on Ω and on $[0,t]$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega v_t^2(x,t)\mathrm{d}x - \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega v_t^2(x,0)\mathrm{d}x - g'(0)a(0)\int_0^t\int_\Omega v_x v_{xt}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s\\ &- g'(0)\int_0^t\int_\Omega\int_0^s a'(s-\tau)v_x(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau v_{xt}(s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s = \int_0^t\int_\Omega f_t v_t\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t\int_\Omega \mathcal{G}_t v_t\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s\end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$ eel6

Observe now that

$$\int_0^s a'(s-\tau)v_x(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau = -a(0)v_x(s) + a(s)v_x(0) + \int_0^s a(s-\tau)v_{xt}(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau \tag{4.25}$$ eel7

One now gets:

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega v_t^2(x,t)\mathrm{d}x - g'(0)Q\left(v_{xt},t,a\right) = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega v_t^2(x,0)\mathrm{d}x - g'(0)\int_0^t\int_\Omega a(s)v_0''(x)v_t(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s$$
$$+\int_0^t\int_\Omega \left(f_t v_t\right)(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t\int_\Omega \left(\mathcal{G}_t v_t\right)(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \tag{4.26}$$ eel8

Notice that

$$v_t(x,0) = f(x,0) \tag{4.27}$$ eel9

which gives $\int_\Omega v_t^2(x,0)\mathrm{d}x \leq F$. We also have

$$\left|\int_0^t\int_\Omega a(s)v_0''(x)v_t(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s\right| \leq \|v_0''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|a\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)}\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\|v_t(\cdot,s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$
$$\leq \|a\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)}\sqrt{V_0}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(t)} \tag{4.28}$$ eel10

and

$$\int_0^t\int_\Omega \left(f_t v_t\right)(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \leq \sqrt{F}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(t)} \tag{4.29}$$ eel11

Finally, invoking part (iii) of Lemma 4.1 and part (i) of Lemma 3.2 we deduce that

$$\int_0^t\int_\Omega \left(\mathcal{G}_t v_t\right)(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \leq K\overline{a}\nu(t)\mathcal{E}(t) + K\nu(t)\|\psi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)}\mathcal{E}(t) \tag{4.30}$$ eel12

and with the obtainment of this last estimates the proof ends.

□

Next, in order to obtain energy estimates for $\int_\Omega v_{tt}^2(x,t)\mathrm{d}x$ we shall use the difference operator $\left(\triangle_h w\right)(x,t) = w(x,t+h) - w(x,t)$, for $h>0$ small enough.

lies **Lemma 4.4.** *Under the assumption that* (4.10) *is fulfilled, one has:*

$$\int_\Omega v_{tt}^2(x,t)\mathrm{d}x - 2g'(0)\lim_{h\to 0_+}\frac{1}{h^2}Q\left(\triangle_h v_{xt},t,a\right) \leq C\Big\{F + \sqrt{F}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(t)}$$
$$+\left[\nu(t)+\nu^3(t)\right]\mathcal{E}(t) + \sqrt{V_0}\mathcal{E}(t)\Big\} \tag{4.31}$$ lies1

For the Proof, see the Appendix Section.

Since $\nu(t)$ and $\mathcal{E}(t)$ are non-increasing functions in t, we obtain as a consequence of Lemma 4.2, Lemma 4.3, Lemma 4.4, Lemma 3.4 and Sobolev embeddings, that:

lse **Lemma 4.5.** *Under the assumption stated in* (4.10) *one has*

$$\mathcal{E}_1(t) \leq C\left\{V_0 + F + \left(\sqrt{V_0}+\sqrt{F}\right)\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(t)} + \left[\nu(t)+\nu^3(t)\right]\mathcal{E}(t) + \sqrt{V_0}\mathcal{E}(t)\right\} \tag{4.32}$$ lse1

4.3 Non-energy estimates.

In the following we obtain estimates for the other constitutive terms of $\mathcal{E}(t)$.

Now, from (2.5) and using for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ the result of Theorem 3.1 with $b = a$, $l(t) = \dfrac{1}{g'(0)}\left[f(x,t) + \mathcal{G}(x,t) - v_t(x,t)\right]$, and $w(t) = v_{xx}(x,t)$, we deduce the equality

$$v_{xx} = \frac{1}{g'(0)}\left[\frac{1}{a(0)}\left(f_t + \mathcal{G}_t - v_{tt}\right) + A_1 * \left(f_t + \mathcal{G}_t - v_{tt}\right) + A_2 * \left(f + \mathcal{G} - v_t\right)\right] \tag{4.33}$$

where $A_1, A_2 \in L^1_{[0,+\infty)}(\mathbb{R})$ are two functions that depend on a_n, with bounded L^1 norms which are independent of n, due to (a_2) and (a_5).

We have the following estimate:

Lemma 4.6. *Under the assumption stated in (4.10) one has*

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Omega v_{xx}^2(x,t)\mathrm{d}x + \int_0^t\int_\Omega v_{xx}^2(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t\int_\Omega v_{tt}^2(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s\\ &\leq C\left[F + \mathcal{E}_1(t) + \nu(t)\mathcal{E}(t)\right]\end{aligned} \tag{4.34}$$

Proof. **Step 1.**

We multiply (4.33) by v_{xx} and integrate on Ω. It is clear that, for any $\eta > 0$, we have

$$\left|\int_\Omega \left(f_t - v_{tt}\right) v_{xx}\mathrm{d}x\right| \leq \eta\int_\Omega v_{xx}^2\mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{2\eta}\int_\Omega \left(f_t^2 + v_{tt}^2\right)\mathrm{d}x \tag{4.35}$$

From part (iii) in Lemma 4.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_\Omega \mathcal{G}_t v_{xx}\mathrm{d}x\right| &\leq K\nu(t)\int_\Omega |v_{xx}(x,t)|\left(|v_{xx}| * |\psi|\right)(x,t)\mathrm{d}x\\ &\quad + \overline{a}K\nu(t)\int_\Omega |v_{xx}(x,t)|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

Further, with the help of part (ii) in Lemma 3.2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_\Omega \mathcal{G}_t v_{xx}\mathrm{d}x\right| &\leq K\nu(t)\left\|v_{xx}(\cdot,t)\right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\psi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)}\sup_{0\leq\tau\leq t}\left\|v_{xx}(\cdot,\tau)\right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\\ &\quad + \overline{a}K\nu(t)\left\|v_{xx}(\cdot,t)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq K\nu(t)\left[\|\psi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)} + \overline{a}\right]\mathcal{E}(t)\end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

For any $\eta > 0$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_\Omega A_1 * \left(f_t - v_{tt}\right) v_{xx}\mathrm{d}x\right| \leq \|A_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)}\left\|v_{xx}(\cdot,t)\right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\sup_{0\leq\tau\leq t}\left[\left\|f_t(\cdot,\tau)\right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \left\|v_{tt}(\cdot,\tau)\right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right]\\ &\leq \eta\left\|v_{xx}(\cdot,t)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \frac{1}{2\eta}\|A_1\|^2_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)}\sup_{0\leq\tau\leq t}\left[\left\|f_t(\cdot,\tau)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \left\|v_{tt}(\cdot,\tau)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right]\end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

and also

$$\left|\int_\Omega A_2 * \left(f - v_t\right) v_{xx}\mathrm{d}x\right|$$

$$\leq \eta \left\| v_{xx}(\cdot,t) \right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \frac{1}{2\eta} \left\| A_2 \right\|^2_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)} \sup_{0\leq\tau\leq t} \left[\left\| f(\cdot,\tau) \right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \left\| v_t(\cdot,\tau) \right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \right] \tag{4.39}$$ sl6

We now have:

$$\left| \int_\Omega \left(A_1 * \mathcal{G}_t \right)(x,t) v_{xx}(x,t) \mathrm{d}x \right| \leq \overline{a} K \nu(t) \int_\Omega \left(|A_1| * |v_{xx}| \right)(x,t) \left| v_{xx}(x,t) \right| \mathrm{d}x$$
$$+ K\nu(t) \int_\Omega \left(|A_1| * |\psi| * |v_{xx}(x,t)| \right)(x,t) \left| v_{xx}(x,t) \right| \mathrm{d}x \tag{4.40}$$ sl7

Then:

$$\left| \int_\Omega \left(A_1 * \mathcal{G}_t \right)(x,t) v_{xx}(x,t) \mathrm{d}x \right|$$
$$\leq K\nu(t) \left[\overline{a} \left\| A_1 \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)} + \left\| \, |A_1| * |\psi| \, \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)} \right] \left\| v_{xx}(\cdot,t) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \sup_{0\leq\tau\leq t} \left\| v_{xx}(\cdot,\tau) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \tag{4.41}$$ sl8

This gives

$$\left| \int_\Omega \left(A_1 * \mathcal{G}_t \right)(x,t) v_{xx}(x,t) \mathrm{d}x \right| \leq C\nu(t)\mathcal{E}(t) \tag{4.42}$$ sl9

Likewise,

$$\left| \int_\Omega \left(A_2 * \mathcal{G} \right)(x,t) v_{xx}(x,t) \mathrm{d}x \right| \leq C\nu(t)\mathcal{E}(t) \tag{4.43}$$ sl10

Now, from the above estimates (4.35), (4.37), (4.38), (4.39), (4.42) and (4.43), with $\eta > 0$ small enough leads to

$$\sup_{0\leq s\leq t} \int_\Omega v^2_{xx}(x,s) \mathrm{d}x \leq C \left[F + \mathcal{E}_1(t) + \nu(t)\mathcal{E}(t) \right] \tag{4.44}$$ sl11

Step 2.
We multiply (4.33) by v_{xx} and integrate on $(0,t)$ and on Ω. Proceeding as in **Step 1.**, using part (i) in Lemma 3.2, one gets for any $\eta > 0$ that

$$\int_{Q_t} \left[f_t + \mathcal{G}_t + A_1 * f_t + A_2 * (f - v_t) + A_1 * \mathcal{G}_t + A_2 * \mathcal{G} \right] v_{xx} \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s$$
$$\leq \eta \int_{Q_t} v^2_{xx} \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s + \frac{C}{\eta} \left[F + \mathcal{E}_1(t) \right] + C\nu(t)\mathcal{E}(t) \tag{4.45}$$ sl13n

We are left to focus on terms that contain v_{tt}. Invoking density arguments,

$$\int_{Q_t} \left(v_{tt} v_{xx} \right)(x,s) \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s = \int_\Omega \left(v_{xx} v_t \right)(x,t) \mathrm{d}x - \int_\Omega v_0''(x) v_t(x,0) \mathrm{d}x + \int_{Q_t} v^2_{xt} \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \tag{4.46}$$ sl19

which gives, using (4.27),

$$\left| \int_{Q_t} \left(v_{tt} v_{xx} \right)(x,s) \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \right| \leq \left\| v_{xx}(\cdot,t) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \left\| v_t(\cdot,t) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

$$+ \|v_0''\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|f(\cdot,0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \int_{Q_t} v_{xt}^2(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \tag{4.47}$$ sl20

Finally we have:

$$\int_{Q_t} (A_1 * v_{tt})(x,s) v_{xx}(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s = \int_{Q_t} (A_1 * v_t)_t v_{xx}(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s - \int_{Q_t} A_1(s) v_t(x,0) v_{xx}(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \tag{4.48}$$ sl21

Again, calling in the density arguments leads to

$$\int_{Q_t} (A_1 * v_t)_t (x,s) v_{xx}(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s = \int_{\Omega} (A_1 * v_t)(x,t) v_{xx}(x,t)\mathrm{d}x + \int_{Q_t} (A_1 * v_{xt}) v_{xt}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \tag{4.49}$$ sl22

From equalities (4.48) and (4.49) one easily gets:

$$\left| \int_{Q_t} (A_1 * v_{tt}) v_{xx}(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \right| \leq \|A_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)} \left[\int_{Q_t} v_{xt}^2 \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s + \|v_{xx}(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \sup_{0\leq\tau\leq t} \|v_t(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|f(\cdot,0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \sup_{0\leq s\leq t} \|v_{xx}(\cdot,s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right] \tag{4.50}$$ sl23

Now, adding inequalities (4.45), (4.47), (4.50) and upon using (4.44) it allows us to get

$$\int_{Q_t} v_{xx}^2(x,t)\mathrm{d}x \leq C\left[F + \mathcal{E}_1(t) + \nu(t)\mathcal{E}(t)\right] \tag{4.51}$$ sl24

Step 3.
We now multiply (4.23) by v_{tt} and integrate on Q_t. We have the listed below results:

$$\left| \int_{Q_t} v_{xx} v_{tt}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \right| \leq \eta \int_{Q_t} v_{tt}^2 \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s + \frac{1}{4\eta} \int_{Q_t} v_{xx}^2 \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \tag{4.52}$$ sl25

$$\int_{Q_t} (a' * v_{xx}) v_{tt}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \leq \|a'\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)} \|v_{xx}\|_{L^2(Q_t)} \|v_{tt}\|_{L^2(Q_t)} \leq \eta \|v_{tt}\|_{L^2(Q_t)}^2 + \frac{1}{4\eta} \|a'\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)}^2 \|v_{xx}\|_{L^2(Q_t)}^2 \tag{4.53}$$ sl26

$$\int_{Q_t} f_t v_{tt}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \leq \eta \|v_{tt}\|_{L^2(Q_t)}^2 + \frac{1}{4\eta} \|f_t\|_{L^2(Q_t)}^2 \tag{4.54}$$ sl27

$$\int_{Q_t} \mathcal{G}_t v_{tt}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \leq \overline{a} k\nu(t) \int_{Q_t} |v_{xx}| |v_{tt}| \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s + k\nu(t) \int_{Q_t} (|v_{xx}| * |\psi|) |v_{tt}| \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \leq k\nu(t) \left(\overline{a} + \|\psi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)}\right) \mathcal{E}(t) \tag{4.55}$$ sl28

We then obtain, taking η small enough and using (4.51), that

$$\int_{Q_t} v_{xx}^2(x,t)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \leq C\left[F + \mathcal{E}_1(t) + \nu(t)\mathcal{E}(t)\right] \tag{4.56}$$ sl29

Now from estimates (4.44), (4.51) and (4.56) we obtain the result of Lemma 4.6.

□

Now we take on to obtaining estimates for u defined as $u(x,t) = \int_0^t v(x,s)\mathrm{d}s$. The idea is to integrate (4.33) w.r.t. t; one gets:

$$u_{xx} = \frac{1}{g'(0)}\left\{\frac{f+\mathcal{G}-v_t}{a(0)} + \int_0^t \left[A_1 * (f_t + \mathcal{G}_t - v_{tt})\right](x,s)\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \left[A_2 * (f+\mathcal{G}-v_t)\right](x,s)\mathrm{d}s\right\} \tag{4.57}$$

sl30

We shall use in the following the below Lemma:

al **Lemma 4.7.** *Suppose that $A \in L^1(0,T)$, $\varphi \in W^{1,1}(0,T)$. Then, for any $t \in (0,T)$, we have*

$$\int_0^t (A * \varphi')(s)\mathrm{d}s = A * [\varphi - \varphi(0)H] \tag{4.58}$$

al1

Proof. The proof is a direct consequence of Fubini's Theorem. □

Recall from (4.27) that $(f + \mathcal{G} - v_t)(x,0) = 0$. Then (4.57) can be re-written in the form

$$u_{xx} = \frac{1}{g'(0)}\left\{\frac{f+\mathcal{G}-v_t}{a(0)} + A_1 * (f+\mathcal{G}-v_t) + A_2 * \left[\int_0^t f(x,s)\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \mathcal{G}(x,s)\mathrm{d}s - v + v_0\right]\right\} \tag{4.59}$$

al3

We deduce from the above equation that

$$u_{xxx} = \frac{1}{g'(0)} \left\{\frac{f_x+\mathcal{G}_x-v_{xt}}{a(0)} + A_1 * (f_x + \mathcal{G}_x - v_{xt}) + A_2 * \left[\int_0^t f_x(x,s)\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \mathcal{G}_x(x,s)\mathrm{d}s - v_x + v_0'\right]\right\} \tag{4.60}$$

al3n

We can now prove the following:

al4 **Lemma 4.8.** *Assume the assumption formulated in (4.10) holds true. Then*

$$\sup_{0\le s\le t} \|u_{xx}(\cdot,s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \le C\left\{V_0 + F + \nu^2(t)\mathcal{E}(t) + \mathcal{E}^3(t) + \mathcal{E}_1(t)\right\} \tag{4.61}$$

al5

and

$$\sup_{0\le s\le t} \|u_{xxx}(\cdot,s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \le C\left\{V_0 + F + \nu^2(t)\mathcal{E}(t) + \nu^2(t)\mathcal{E}^2(t) + \mathcal{E}^3(t) + \mathcal{E}_1(t)\right\} \tag{4.62}$$

al5n

where $C > 0$ is a constant which is independent of n.

Proof. The proof is performed in two steps.

Step 1.

Here we obtain the necessary estimates for $\mathcal{G}(t)$, $\int_0^t \mathcal{G}(s)\mathrm{d}s$, $\mathcal{G}_x(t)$ and for $\int_0^t \mathcal{G}_x(s)\mathrm{d}s$. Using (2.6) and part (i) of Lemma 4.1 we have

$$|\mathcal{G}(t)| \leq K\nu(t)\int_0^{+\infty} |a'(s)|\, r_0(s)\, |u_{xx}(x,t) - u_{xx}(x,t-s)|\, \mathrm{d}s \tag{4.63}$$ al6

and this gives

$$\|\mathcal{G}(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq 2K\nu(t)\int_0^{+\infty} |a'(s)|\, r_0(s)\mathrm{d}s \left(\sup_{0\leq s\leq t} \|u_{xx}(\cdot,s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right) \leq C\nu(t)\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(t)} \tag{4.64}$$ al7

On the other hand, using (2.6) and (4.2), we have that

$$\left|\int_0^t \mathcal{G}(x,s)\mathrm{d}s\right| \leq K\int_0^{+\infty} |a'(\tau)| \int_0^t |\overline{v}_x^s(x,\tau)|^2\, |u_{xx}(x,s) - u_{xx}(x,s-\tau)|\, \mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}\tau \tag{4.65}$$ al8

which implies, taking the $L^2(\Omega)$-norm, that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\int_0^t \mathcal{G}(\cdot,s)\mathrm{d}s\right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq & 2K\left(\sup_{0\leq\tau\leq t} \|u_{xx}(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right) \\ & \int_0^{+\infty} |a'(\tau)| \int_0^t \|\overline{v}_x^s(\cdot,\tau)\|^2_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\, \mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}\tau\end{aligned} \tag{4.66}$$ al9

Now we have by Sobolev inclusions:

$$\|\overline{v}_x^s(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C\int_{s-\tau}^s \|v(\cdot,\lambda)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}\, \mathrm{d}\lambda \leq 2C\tau\mathcal{M}\left(\|\tilde{v}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}\right)(s) \tag{4.67}$$ al10

where $\tilde{v}(x,s)$ is the function defined on $\Omega\times\mathbb{R}$ by

$$\tilde{v}(x,s) = \begin{cases} v(x,s) & \text{for } s\in[0,t) \\ 0 & \text{for } s\in\mathbb{R}-[0,t)\end{cases} \tag{4.68}$$ al11

and

$$\mathcal{M}\left(\|\tilde{v}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}\right)(s) = \sup_{\rho>0}\frac{1}{2\rho}\int_{s-\rho}^{s+\rho} \|\tilde{v}(\cdot,\tau)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}\, \mathrm{d}\tau \tag{4.69}$$ al12

is the maximal function of $s\mapsto \|\tilde{v}(\cdot,s)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}$ (see [18]).
Now, the maximal inequality (see Theorem 1, page 5 in [18]) in this case leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}\left(\|\tilde{v}(\cdot,s)\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}\right)(s)\mathrm{d}s &\leq 2\sqrt{10}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\tilde{v}(\cdot,s)\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}(x,s)\mathrm{d}s \\ &= 2\sqrt{10}\int_0^t \|v(\cdot,s)\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}(x,s)\mathrm{d}s\end{aligned} \tag{4.70}$$ al13

Then, from (4.67) and (4.70) by Sobolev inclusions we have that:

$$\int_0^t \|\overline{v}_x^s(\cdot,\tau)\|^2_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\, \mathrm{d}\tau \leq C\tau^2\int_0^t \|v(\cdot,s)\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)} \tag{4.71}$$ al14

Next, with the help of (4.66) we deduce

$$\left\|\int_0^t \mathcal{G}(\cdot,s)\mathrm{d}s\right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq CK \sup_{0\leq\tau\leq t}\|u_{xx}(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\int_0^t \|v(\cdot,s)\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}\,\mathrm{d}s\int_0^{+\infty}|a'(\tau)|\,\tau^2\mathrm{d}\tau \quad (4.72)$$

that is

$$\left\|\int_0^t \mathcal{G}(\cdot,s)\mathrm{d}s\right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\mathcal{E}^{3/2}(t) \quad (4.73)$$

Next, let $\mathcal{G}_x(x,t) = I_1 + I_2$, where

$$I_1 = \int_0^{+\infty} a'(s)g''\left(\overline{v}^t_x(s)\right)\left|\overline{v}^t_{xx}(s)\right|^2\mathrm{d}s \quad (4.74)$$

$$I_2 = \int_0^{+\infty} a'(s)\left[g'\left(\overline{v}^t_x(s)\right) - g'(0)\right]\overline{v}^t_{xxx}(s)\mathrm{d}s \quad (4.75)$$

and also $\displaystyle\int_0^t \mathcal{G}_x(x,s)\mathrm{d}s = I_3 + I_4$, where

$$I_3 = \int_0^t\int_0^{+\infty} a'(\tau)g''\left(\overline{v}^s_x(\tau)\right)\left|\overline{v}^s_{xx}(\tau)\right|^2\mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}s \quad (4.76)$$

$$I_4 = \int_0^t\int_0^{+\infty} a'(\tau)\left[g'\left(\overline{v}^s_x(\tau)\right) - g'(0)\right]\overline{v}^s_{xxx}(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}s \quad (4.77)$$

Since $\overline{v}^t(s) = u(t) - u(t-s)$, using again part (i) in Lemma 4.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|I_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq 2K\nu(t)\int_0^{+\infty}|a'(s)|\,r_0(s)\left[\left\|u^2_{xx}(\cdot,t)\right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \left\|u^2_{xx}(\cdot,t-s)\right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right]\mathrm{d}s\\ &\leq 4K\nu(t)\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\|u_{xx}(\cdot,s)\|^2_{L^4(\Omega)}\int_0^{+\infty}|a'(s)|\,r_0(s)\mathrm{d}s \quad (4.78)\end{aligned}$$

This gives further down by Sobolev inclusion:

$$\|I_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq 4K\left(\int_0^{+\infty}|a'(s)|\,r_0(s)\mathrm{d}s\right)\nu(t)\mathcal{E}(t) \quad (4.79)$$

Next, as in (4.64), one easily obtains that

$$\|I_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq 2K\left(\int_0^{+\infty}|a'(s)|\,r_0(s)\mathrm{d}s\right)\nu(t)\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(t)} \quad (4.80)$$

Moreover,

$$\|I_3\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq$$
$$K\int_0^t\int_0^{+\infty}|a'(\tau)|\,\|\overline{v}^s_x(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\,\|u_{xx}(\cdot,s) - u_{xx}(\cdot,s-\tau)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\,\|\overline{v}^s_{xx}(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\,\mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}s \quad (4.81)$$

As in the proof of (4.61) we have the following estimates:

$$\|\overline{v}^s_x(\tau)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq 2\tau \mathcal{M}\left(\|\tilde{v}_x\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\right)(s)$$

$$\|\overline{v}^s_{xx}(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq 2\tau \mathcal{M}\left(\|\tilde{v}_{xx}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)(s)$$

which give

$$\|I_3\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq 8K \sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\|u_{xx}(\cdot,s)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \int_0^{+\infty} |a'(\tau)|\,\tau^2 \mathrm{d}\tau \sqrt{\int_0^t \mathcal{M}\left(\|\tilde{v}_x\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\right)^2(s)\mathrm{d}s}\sqrt{\int_0^t \mathcal{M}\left(\|\tilde{v}_{xx}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)^2(s)\mathrm{d}s} \tag{4.82}$$ nal10

Using again the maximal inequality from [18] ste1 and the Sobolev embeddings leads to

$$\|I_3\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\|u(\cdot,s)\|_{H^3(\Omega)} \int_0^t \|v(\cdot,s)\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}\,\mathrm{d}s \tag{4.83}$$ nal11

that is

$$\|I_3\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\mathcal{E}^{3/2}(t) \tag{4.84}$$ nal12

Finally, for I_4 we proceed as for obtaining (4.73) al15n and get

$$\|I_4\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\mathcal{E}^{3/2}(t) \tag{4.85}$$ nal13

The above estimates lead to the below ones:

$$\|\mathcal{G}_x(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\nu(t)\left(\mathcal{E}(t)+\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(t)}\right) \tag{4.86}$$ nal14

$$\left\|\int_0^t \mathcal{G}_x(\cdot,s)\mathrm{d}s\right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\mathcal{E}^{3/2}(t) \tag{4.87}$$ nal15

Step 2.
From (4.59) al3 we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_{xx}(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq{}& \frac{1}{|g'(0)|}\Bigg\{\frac{1}{a(0)}\left[\|f(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}+\|\mathcal{G}(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}+\|v_t(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right]\\ &+\|A_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)}\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\left[\|f(\cdot,s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}+\|\mathcal{G}(\cdot,s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}+\|v_t(\cdot,s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right]\\ &+\|A_2\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)}\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\left[\left\|\int_0^s f(\cdot,\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau\right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}+\left\|\int_0^s \mathcal{G}(\cdot,\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau\right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}+\|v(\cdot,s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}+\|v_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right]\Bigg\}\end{aligned} \tag{4.88}$$ al17

Using now (4.64) al7 and (4.73) al15n and the fact that $\nu(t)$ and $\mathcal{E}(t)$ are increasing functions we obtain (4.61) al5. Next,(4.62) al5n is obtained in a similar manner: one produces an equality like that of (4.88) al17 satisfied by $\|u_{xx}(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ with f_x, $\mathcal{G}_x$, v_{tx}, v_x, v_0' in place of f, $\mathcal{G}$, v_t, v, v_0. Using (4.86) nal14 and (4.87) nal15 we get (4.62) al5n.

□

4.4 Smallness estimates.

The next Proposition proves the uniform boundedness of $\mathcal{E}(t)$.

smr **Proposition 4.2.** *There exist two numbers $\overline{\mathcal{E}} > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ independent of n such that, whenever v_0 and f verify $F(f) + V_0(v_0) \leq \delta$, one has*

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \leq \frac{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}{2}, \, \forall t \in [0, T) \tag{4.89}$$ smr1

Proof. Remark first that, capitalizing on (ee15 4.23) and (pr5 4.9), one has $v_t(x,0) = f(x,0)$, $v_{xt}(x,0) = f_x(x,0)$, $v_{tt}(x,0) = -g'(0)a(0)v_0''(x) + f_t(x,0)$. From the definition of $\mathcal{E}(t)$ we deduce

$$\mathcal{E}(0) \leq \left[1 + 2a^2(0)\left|g'(0)\right|^2\right] \|v_0\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)} + \int_\Omega \left[f^2(x,0) + f_x^2(x,0) + 2f_t^2(x,0)\right] \mathrm{d}x \tag{4.90}$$ smr2

Therefore

$$\mathcal{E}(0) \leq 2\left[1 + a^2(0)\left|g'(0)\right|^2\right](F + V_0) \tag{4.91}$$ smr3

We now use the fact that the seminorm $w \in H^2(\Omega) \mapsto \|w_{xx}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ is a norm on $H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$, equivalent to the usual norm in $H^2(\Omega)$. We shall as well make use of the inequality $\left(\sqrt{V_0} + \sqrt{F}\right)\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(t)} \leq \eta\mathcal{E}(t) + \dfrac{1}{2\eta}(V_0 + F)$, with $\eta > 0$ small enough.

From Lemmas lse 4.5, sl 4.6 and a14 4.8 we deduce

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \leq C\left\{V_0 + F + \left[\nu(t) + \nu^3(t)\right]\mathcal{E}(t) + \sqrt{V_0}\mathcal{E}(t) + \mathcal{E}^3(t) + \nu^2(t)\mathcal{E}^2(t)\right\} \tag{4.92}$$ smr4

provided (pr6 4.10) holds true.

Recall also the inequality (pr4 4.7):

$$\nu(t) \leq c_\Omega\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(t)}, \, \forall t \in [0, T) \tag{4.93}$$ smr7

Then, we deduce from (smr4 4.92) that

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \leq c_1\left[V_0 + F + \mathcal{E}^3(t)\right] \tag{4.94}$$ smr4n

with $c_1 > 0$ a constant independent of n.

Now observe that we can choose $\overline{\mathcal{E}} > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\begin{cases} c_1\overline{\mathcal{E}}^2 \leq \dfrac{1}{2} \\ \overline{\mathcal{E}} < \dfrac{\theta^2}{4C_\Omega} \\ c_1\delta \leq \dfrac{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}{4} \\ 2\left[1 + a^2(0)\left|g'(0)\right|^2\right]\delta \leq \dfrac{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}{2} \end{cases} \tag{4.95}$$ smr8

Let us now prove that, for any $t \in [0, T)$, (smr1 4.89) holds true. Indeed, if the contrary were true, then invoking the continuity w.r.t. time there exists $t_2 \in (0, T)$ s.t. $\mathcal{E}(t) \leq \overline{\mathcal{E}}$, for any $t \in (0, t_2)$, but inequality (smr1 4.89) is false on an interval (t_1, t_2) with $0 < t_1 < t_2$. From the second inequality in (smr8 4.95) we deduce that (smr4n 4.94) is satisfied on $[0, t_2]$. Using once more (smr8 4.95) one gets

$\mathcal{E}(t) \leq \dfrac{\mathcal{E}(t)}{2} + \dfrac{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}{4}$ which triggers $\mathcal{E}(t) \leq \dfrac{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}{2}$ on $[0, t_2]$, hence a contradiction. This later fact ends the proof.

□

5 Proof of the main result.

pmrs

Remark that from Proposition smr 4.2 we actually deduce that for v_n - solution of $(P_n)_1$, $(P_n)_2$, $(P_n)_3$ - we have the following upper bounds:

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T_n)} \left[\|u_n(\cdot,t)\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} + \|(u_n)_t(\cdot,t)\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|(u_n)_{tt}(\cdot,t)\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|(u_n)_{ttt}(\cdot,t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \right]$$
$$+ \int_0^{T_n} \left\{ \|v_n(\cdot,t)\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|(v_n)_t(\cdot,t)\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|(v_n)_{tt}(\cdot,t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \right\} \mathrm{d}t \leq \frac{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}{2} \tag{5.1}$$ ub1

and

$$\sup_{\substack{x\in\Omega \\ 0<s<t<T_n}} \left| \int_{t-s}^{t} (v_n)_x (x,\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau \right| \leq \theta \tag{5.2}$$ ub2

We then deduce from Proposition pr 4.1 that $T_n = +\infty$, so (ub1 5.1) and (ub2 5.2) are valid upon replacing T_n by $+\infty$. It follows that there exist two limits

$$u \in \bigcap_{m=0}^{3} W^{m,\infty}\left((0,+\infty); H^{3-m}(\Omega)\right)$$

and

$$v \in \left\{ \bigcap_{m=0}^{2} W^{m,\infty}\left((0,+\infty); H^{2-m}(\Omega)\right) \right\} \cap \left\{ \bigcap_{m=0}^{2} W^{m,2}\left((0,+\infty); H^{2-m}(\Omega)\right) \right\}$$

with $u(x,t) = \displaystyle\int_0^t v(x,s)\, ds$ s.t. (up to a subsequence of n) we have

$$\frac{d^m u_n}{dt^m} \rightharpoonup \frac{d^m u}{dt^m} \quad \text{weakly} * \ \text{ in } \ L^\infty\left((0,+\infty); H^{3-m}(\Omega)\right), \quad m = 0,1,2,3$$

and

$$\frac{d^m v_n}{dt^m} \rightharpoonup \frac{d^m v}{dt^m} \quad \text{weakly in } \ L^2\left((0,+\infty); H^{2-m}(\Omega)\right), \quad m = 0,1,2.$$

By the trace theorem we have $v = 0$ for $x \in \partial\Omega$, $t \geq 0$, and $v(x,0) = v_0(x)$, for $x \in \Omega$. Now remark that the equation $(P_n)_1$ can be written in the form

$$(v_n)_t(x,t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_0^t a_n(t-s) g'\left((u_n)_x(x,t) - (u_n)_x(x,s)\right) (v_n)_x(x,s) \mathrm{d}s + f(x,t) \tag{5.3}$$ ub3

We now pass to the limit in (ub3 5.3) above, for any fixed $t \geq 0$. By the trace theorem it is clear that $(v_n)_t(\cdot,t) \xrightarrow[n\to+\infty]{L^2(\Omega)} v_t(\cdot,t)$ weakly. Next, we take on to proving that

$$\int_0^t a_n(t-s) g'\left((u_n)_x(x,t) - (u_n)_x(x,s)\right) (v_n)_x(x,s) \mathrm{d}s$$

weakly converges in $L^2(\Omega)$ towards

$$\int_0^t a(t-s)g'\left(u_x(x,t)-u_x(x,s)\right)v_x(x,s)\mathrm{d}s$$

Let $\phi \in L^2(\Omega)$ be fixed; we have to prove that

$$E_n \xrightarrow[n\to+\infty]{} E \tag{5.4}$$ ub4

where

$$E_n = \int_{Q_t} \phi(x)a_n(t-s)g'\left((u_n)_x(x,t)-(u_n)_x(x,s)\right)(v_n)_x(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \tag{5.5}$$ ub5

$$E = \int_{Q_t} \phi(x)a(t-s)g'\left(u_x(x,t)-u_x(x,s)\right)v_x(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \tag{5.6}$$ ub6

By Sobolev compact inclusion we have that $(u_n)_x \xrightarrow[n\to+\infty]{C(\overline{Q_t})} u_x$ strongly and $(u_n)_x(\cdot,t) \xrightarrow[n\to+\infty]{C(\overline{\Omega})} u_x(\cdot,t)$ also strongly. From (5.2), with $T_n = +\infty$ we deduce

$$\sup_{\substack{x\in\Omega\\0<s<t}} \left|\int_{t-s}^t v_x(x,\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau\right| \le \theta \tag{5.7}$$ ub7

Making use of (??) leads to the strong convergence

$$g'\left((u_n)_x(x,t)-(u_n)_x(x,s)\right) \xrightarrow[n\to+\infty]{C(\overline{Q_t})} g'\left(u_x(x,t)-u_x(x,s)\right). \tag{5.8}$$ ub8

Since $(v_n)_x \xrightarrow[n\to+\infty]{L^2(Q_t)} v_x$ strongly and $a_n \xrightarrow[n\to+\infty]{L^2(0,t)} a$ strongly (consequence of assumption (a_2)), one easily gets (5.4) which ends the proof of Theorem 2.1.

6 A class of totally monotone functions compliant with hypotheses (a_1) to (a_5).

ax

The goal here is to introduce a large class of functions a compliant with assumptions (a_1)-(a_5). The following Lemma deals with sufficient conditions so that (a_5) holds.

ax1 **Lemma 6.1.** *Assume that $b \in W^{1,1}(0,+\infty)$ satisfies the following conditions*

(i) $tb' \in L^1(0,+\infty)$

(ii) *there exists* $M_3 > 0$ *and* $\alpha_1 > 0$ *s.t.* $|\mathcal{F}b(\omega)| \ge \dfrac{M_3}{1+|\omega|^{\alpha_1}}$, $\forall \omega \in \mathbb{R}$

(iii) *there exists* $M_4 > 0$ *and* $\alpha_2 > 0$ *s.t.* $|\mathcal{F}b'(\omega)| \le \dfrac{M_4}{1+|\omega|^{\alpha_2}}$, $\forall \omega \in \mathbb{R}$

(iv) *there exists* $\alpha_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ *s.t. the function* $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto tb(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ *is an element of* $H^{\alpha_3}(\mathbb{R})$

Then there exists $M_5 > 0$ depending only on M_3,M_4, α_1, α_2 and α_3, and $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ depending only on α_1 and α_2 and α_3, s.t.

$$\frac{(\mathcal{F}b')^p}{\mathcal{F}b} \in \mathcal{F}\left(B_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}(0, M_6)\right) \tag{6.1}$$ ax2

where

$$M_6 = M_5\left[1 + \|tb'\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|tb\|_{H^{\alpha_3}(\mathbb{R})}\right] \tag{6.2}$$ ax3

Proof. Since $H^1(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathcal{F}L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}w\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\|w\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}$, $\forall w \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ (see limg [12]), it suffices to consider the H^1 norm of $E \equiv \frac{[\mathcal{F}b']^p}{\mathcal{F}b}$. From hypotheses (ii) and (iii) it is clear that, for p large enough depending on α_1 and α_2, we have

$$\|E\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq M_5 \tag{6.3}$$ ax4

where M_5 depends on M_3, M_4 and α_2. We also have $E' = E_1 - E_2$, with

$$E_1 := p\frac{[\mathcal{F}b']^{p-1}[\mathcal{F}b']'}{\mathcal{F}b} \tag{6.4}$$ ax5

$$E_2 := \frac{[\mathcal{F}b']^p[\mathcal{F}b]'}{(\mathcal{F}b)^2} \tag{6.5}$$ ax6

Since $\left|(\mathcal{F}b')'\right| = |\mathcal{F}(tb')| \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$, from the above mentioned assumptions we get there exists p large enough depending on α_1 and α_2 s.t.

$$\|E_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq M_5\|tb'\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \tag{6.6}$$ ax7

From assumption (iv) and the fact that $\left|(\mathcal{F}b)'\right| = |\mathcal{F}(tb)|$ we have that the function $\omega \longrightarrow (1+\omega^2)^{\alpha_3/2}(\mathcal{F}b)'(\omega) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and, $\left\|(1+\omega^2)^{\alpha_3/2}(\mathcal{F}b)'(\omega)\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|tb\|_{H^{\alpha_3}(\mathbb{R})}$.

Then there exists p large enough depending on α_1, α_2 and α_3 s.t.

$$\|E_2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq M_5\|tb\|_{H^{\alpha_3}(\mathbb{R})} \tag{6.7}$$ ax8

with M_5 as before. From (ax4 6.3), (ax7 6.6) and (ax8 6.7) the claimed result follows.

□

Let μ be a positive, finite and non-zero Borel measure on $\mathbb{R}_+$, satisfying

(μ_1) : the function $\mathbb{R}_+ \ni \rho \mapsto \frac{1}{\rho^2}$ is an element of $L^1_\mu(0, +\infty)$

(μ_2) : there exists $\gamma \in (0,1)$ s.t. the function $\mathbb{R}_+ \ni \rho \mapsto \rho^\gamma$ is an element of $L^1_\mu(0, +\infty)$

Remark that, as a consequence of these hypotheses, the function $\mathbb{R}_+ \ni \rho \mapsto \rho^\beta$ is an element of $L^1_\mu(0, +\infty)$ for any $\beta \in [-2, \gamma]$.

We now consider the following totally monotone function (see pru1 [14])

$$\tilde{a} : [0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R},\ \tilde{a}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} e^{-\rho t}\mathrm{d}\mu(\rho),\ \forall t \geq 0 \tag{6.8}$$ ax8p

This Section main result is contained in the below theorem:

axr **Theorem 6.1.** *Assume the hypotheses* (μ_1) *and* (μ_2) *hold true. Then the function* $\tilde{a}$ *given by* (6.8) *satisfies the hypotheses* (a_1)-(a_5) *of Section 2 with*

$$\tilde{a}_n(t) = \int_{[0,n)} e^{-\rho t} \mathrm{d}\mu(\rho),\ \forall t \geq 0,\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$$

Proof. Since the measure μ is finite, it is clear that $\tilde{a}_n \in \mathscr{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$, and for any $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $(\tilde{a}_n)^{(k)}(t) = \displaystyle\int_{[0,n)} (-1)^k \rho^k e^{-\rho t} \mathrm{d}\mu(\rho)$. This gives $\tilde{a}_n \in W^{p,\infty}(0,+\infty)$, for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and also $\tilde{a}_n' < 0$.

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then

$$\int_0^{+\infty} t^q \left(\tilde{a}_n\right)^{(k)}(t) \mathrm{d}t = (-1)^k \int_0^{+\infty} t^q \int_{[0,n)} \rho^k e^{-\rho t} \mathrm{d}\mu(\rho) \mathrm{d}t = (-1)^k \int_{[0,n)} \rho^k \left(\int_0^{+\infty} t^q e^{-\rho t} \mathrm{d}t \right) \mathrm{d}\mu(\rho)$$

Taking $\tau = \rho t$ in the integral w.r.t. t leads to

$$\int_0^{+\infty} t^q \left| \left(\tilde{a}_n\right)^{(k)}(t) \right| \mathrm{d}t = \int_0^{+\infty} \tau^q e^{-\tau} \mathrm{d}\tau \int_{[0,n)} \rho^{k-q-1} \mathrm{d}\mu(\rho) \tag{6.9}$$ axr1

Invoking hypotheses (μ_1) and (μ_2) gives

$$\int_{[0,+\infty)} \rho^{k-q-1} \mathrm{d}\mu(\rho) < \infty \tag{6.10}$$ axr2

provided that

$$0 \leq q + 1 - k \leq 2 \tag{6.11}$$ axr3

For $q = 0$ and $k = 0$ or $k = 1$ one sees that (6.11) is verified, therefore (a_1) and (a_2) are valid.

For $q = 2$ and $k = 1$ (6.11) is also verified, then $\displaystyle\int_0^{+\infty} t^2 |\tilde{a}_n'(t)| \mathrm{d}t$ is bounded. The same for $q = 1$ and $k = 2$, with this time $\displaystyle\int_0^{+\infty} t |\tilde{a}_n''(t)| \mathrm{d}t$ bounded. The later grants (a_3) is valid.

Next, by Fubini's theorem we obtain, for $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathcal{F}\tilde{a}_n(\omega) = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{[0,n)} e^{-\rho t} \mathrm{d}\mu(\rho) e^{-i\omega t} \mathrm{d}t = \int_{[0,n)} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu(\rho)}{\rho + i\omega}$$

from which one gets

$$\mathrm{Re}\left[\mathcal{F}\tilde{a}_n(\omega)\right] = \int_{[0,n)} \frac{\rho}{\rho^2 + \omega^2} \mathrm{d}\mu(\rho)$$

Now, assumption (μ_1) gives $\mu(\{0\}) = 0$, so, there exists $\underline{\mu}$ and $\overline{\mu}$ s.t. $0 < \underline{\mu} < \overline{\mu}$ and $\mu\left(\left[\underline{\mu}, \overline{\mu}\right]\right) > 0$. Take $n > \overline{\mu}$ to get

$$\mathrm{Re}\left[\mathcal{F}\tilde{a}_n(\omega)\right] \geq \frac{\underline{\mu}}{\overline{\mu}^2 + \omega^2} \mu\left(\left[\underline{\mu}, \overline{\mu}\right]\right),\ \forall \omega \in \mathbb{R}$$

which proves (a_4).

Now we prove that the hypotheses of Lemma 6.1 are verified for $b = \tilde{a}_n$, with constants independent of n.

The last inequality also proves that (ii) of Lemma 6.1 is verified with M_3 independent of n and $\alpha_1 = 2$. Taking $q = k = 1$ (which satisfy (6.11)) we deduce that part (i) of Lemma 6.1 is also verified, and that $\|t\tilde{a}_n'\|_{L^1(0,+\infty)}$ is bounded.

Next, on one hand, we easily calculate

$$\mathcal{F}\tilde{a}_n'(\omega) = -\int_{[0,n)} \frac{\rho}{\rho + i\omega} \mathrm{d}\mu(\rho)$$

which gives

$$|\mathcal{F}\tilde{a}_n'(\omega)| \leq \int_{[0,n)} \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + \omega^2}} \mathrm{d}\mu(\rho) \tag{6.12}$$ axr4

We deduce that

$$|\mathcal{F}\tilde{a}_n'(\omega)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathrm{d}\mu(\rho) \tag{6.13}$$ axr5

On the other hand now, we use the fact that

$$\rho^{2(1-\gamma)}|\omega|^{2\gamma} \leq \gamma|\omega|^2 + (1-\gamma)\rho^2 \leq |\omega|^2 + \rho^2$$

to get from (6.12), for $\omega \neq 0$,

$$|\mathcal{F}\tilde{a}_n'(\omega)| \leq \int_{[0,n)} \frac{\rho}{\rho^{1-\gamma}|\omega|^\gamma} \mathrm{d}\mu(\rho) = \frac{1}{|\omega|^\gamma} \int_{[0,n)} \rho^\gamma \mathrm{d}\mu(\rho)$$

Invoke (μ_2) to get, for $\omega \neq 0$,

$$|\mathcal{F}\tilde{a}_n'(\omega)| \leq \frac{1}{|\omega|^\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \rho^\gamma \mathrm{d}\mu(\rho) \tag{6.14}$$ axr6

Then, (6.13) and (6.14) give

$$|\mathcal{F}\tilde{a}_n'(\omega)| \leq \frac{2}{1 + |\omega|^\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (1 + \rho^\gamma)\, \mathrm{d}\mu(\rho)$$

Then the assumption formulated in (iii) of Lemma 6.1 is verified with $\alpha_2 = \gamma$ and a constant M_4 independent of n.

Finally, the inequality (6.11) is verified with $q = 1$ and $k = 0$. From (6.9) and assumption (μ_2) we get

$$\|t\tilde{a}_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq \int_0^{+\infty} \tau e^{-\tau} \mathrm{d}\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \rho^{-2} \mathrm{d}\mu(\rho) < \infty$$

The above entails $t\tilde{a}_n$ is bounded in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$; consequently hypothesis (iv) of Lemma 6.1 is verified with $\beta = -1$. We then deduce that the conclusion of Lemma 6.1 is verified with a constant $M_6 > 0$ independent of n. Then hypothesis (a_5) is verified. □

frk **Remark 6.1.** *The relaxation function of the Doi-Edwards theory,* $a_{DE}(t) = \displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)^2} e^{-(2k+1)^2 t}$, $t \geq 0$, *is actually a particular case of* (6.8) *with the measure* $\mu_{DE} = \displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)^2} \delta_{(2k+1)^2}$, *where* $\delta_{(2k+1)^2}$ *is Dirac's measure at* $(2k+1)^2$.

It is easy to see that the assumptions (μ_1), (μ_2) *are verified for this measure, and this paper results can be applied for the* a_{DE} *function.*

7 Appendix.

The task here is to prove Lemma 4.4, relabeled below as Lemma 7.2.

Let the function $\xi = \xi(s,t,x)$ be defined a.e. as $\xi(s,t,x) := a'(s)\left[g'\left(\overline{v}_x^t(x,s)\right) - g'(0)\right]$, $s \in [0,+\infty)$, $t \in [0,T)$, $x \in \Omega$. Let $D_T := \{(s,t) : s \in [0,+\infty),\, t \in [0,T),\, s \neq t\}$.

In the following, $\partial_1\xi$, $\partial_2\xi$, $\partial_{22}\xi$ stand for $\dfrac{\partial\xi}{\partial s}$, $\dfrac{\partial\xi}{\partial t}$, and $\dfrac{\partial^2\xi}{\partial t^2}$, respectively.

The first step is proving the following:

Lemma 7.1. *Invoking the above defined notations,*

(i) one has: $\xi \in \mathscr{C}^1\left(D_T; H^1(\Omega)\right)$, $\dfrac{\partial^2\xi}{\partial t^2} \in \mathscr{C}^0\left(D_T; L^2(\Omega)\right)$;

(ii) assuming (4.10) *holds true, one has the following estimates a.e.* $x \in \Omega$, $s \in [0,+\infty)$

$$|\xi(s,t,x)| \leq K\nu(t)\,|a'(s)|\,r_0(s) \tag{7.1}$$

$$\left|\frac{\partial\xi}{\partial t}(s,t,x)\right| \leq 2K\theta\nu(t)\,|a'(s)| \tag{7.2}$$

$$\left|\frac{\partial\xi}{\partial s}(s,t,x)\right| \leq K\nu(t)\left[|a''(s)|\,r_0(s) + \theta\,|a'(s)|\right] \tag{7.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{\partial^2\xi}{\partial t^2}(s,t,x)\right| &\leq 4\nu^2(t)\left[K\theta + \left|g^{(3)}(0)\right|\right]|a'(s)| \\ &\quad + K\nu(t)\,|a'(s)|\,r_0(s)\left[|v_{xt}(x,t)| + |v_{xt}(x,t-s)|\right]\end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

The above derivatives may be considered in the classical sense, as they are defined for $s \neq t$.

Proof. Observe that

$$\frac{\partial\xi}{\partial t} = a'(s)g''\left(\overline{v}_x^t(s)\right)\left[v_x(t) - v_x(t-s)\right]$$

$$\frac{\partial\xi}{\partial s} = a''(s)\left[g'\left(\overline{v}_x^t(s)\right) - g'(0)\right] + a'(s)g''\left(\overline{v}_x^t(s)\right)v_x(t-s)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2\xi}{\partial t^2} = a'(s)g^{(3)}\left(\overline{v}_x^t(s)\right)\left[v_x(t) - v_x(t-s)\right]^2 + a'(s)g''\left(\overline{v}_x^t(s)\right)\left[v_{xt}(t) - v_{xt}(t-s)\right]$$

Repeated use of part (i) of Lemma 4.1 triggers the result. □

For sake of clarity and - last but not least - reader's convenience, we restate Lemma's 4.4 content and then achieve its proof.

Lemma 7.2. *Under the assumption that* (4.10) *is fulfilled, one has:*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega v_{tt}^2(x,t)\mathrm{d}x - 2g'(0)\lim_{h\to 0_+}\frac{1}{h^2}Q\left(\triangle_h v_{xt}, t, a\right) \leq & C\Big\{F + \sqrt{F}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(t)} \\ & + \left[\nu(t) + \nu^3(t)\right]\mathcal{E}(t) + \sqrt{V_0}\mathcal{E}(t)\Big\}\end{aligned} \tag{7.5}$$

Proof. Derivate (2.4) w.r.t. t and apply $\triangle_h$ on the resulting equation. One gets:

$$\triangle_h v_{tt} = \int_0^{+\infty} a'(s) \triangle_h \left(g\left(\overline{v}_x^t(s) \right) \right)_{xt} \mathrm{d}s + \triangle_h f_t \tag{7.6}$$ lies2

Multiply the above by $\triangle_h v_t$, integrate on $\Omega \times [0,t]$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \left[\triangle_h v_t(x,t) \right]^2 \mathrm{d}x - \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \left[\triangle_h v_t(x,0) \right]^2 \mathrm{d}x \\ &= - \int_0^t \int_\Omega \int_0^{+\infty} a'(\tau) \triangle_h g\left(\overline{v}_x^s(x,\tau) \right)_s \triangle_h v_{xt}(x,s) \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_\Omega \triangle_h f_t(x,s) \triangle_h v_t(x,s) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s \end{aligned} \tag{7.7}$$ lies3

Observing that

$$g\left(\overline{v}_x^s(x,\tau) \right)_s = g'\left(\overline{v}_x^s(x,\tau) \right) \left[v_x(x,s) - v_x(x,s-\tau) \right]$$

leads to

$$- \int_0^t \int_\Omega \int_0^{+\infty} a'(\tau) \triangle_h g\left(\overline{v}_x^s(x,\tau) \right)_s \triangle_h v_{xt}(x,s) \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 \tag{7.8}$$ lies4

where:

$$I_1 = - \int_0^t \int_\Omega \int_0^{+\infty} a'(\tau) \triangle_h v_{xt}(x,s) \triangle_h g'\left(\overline{v}_x^s(x,\tau) \right) \left[v_x(s+h) - v_x(s+h-\tau) \right] \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s \tag{7.9}$$ lies5

$$I_2 = - \int_0^t \int_\Omega \int_0^{+\infty} a'(\tau) \triangle_h v_{xt}(x,s) \left[g'\left(\overline{v}_x^s(x,\tau) \right) - g'(0) \right] \triangle_h v_x(x,s) \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s \tag{7.10}$$ lies6

$$I_3 = g'(0) \int_0^t \int_\Omega \int_0^{+\infty} a'(\tau) \triangle_h v_{xt}(x,s) \left[\triangle_h v_x(s-\tau) - \triangle_h v_x(s) \right] \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s \tag{7.11}$$ lies7

$$I_4 = \int_0^t \int_\Omega \int_0^{+\infty} a'(\tau) \triangle_h v_{xt}(x,s) \left[g'\left(\overline{v}_x^s(x,\tau) \right) - g'(0) \right] \triangle_h v_x(s-\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s \tag{7.12}$$ lies8

Integrating by parts w.r.t. s leads to $I_1 = I_{11} + I_{12}$, where:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{11} = &- \int_\Omega \int_0^{+\infty} a'(\tau) \triangle_h v_x(x,t) \triangle_h g'\left(\overline{v}_x^t(x,\tau) \right) \left[v_x(x,t+h) - v_x(x,t+h-\tau) \right] \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}x \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_\Omega \int_0^{+\infty} a'(\tau) \triangle_h v_x(x,s) \triangle_h \left[g''\left(\overline{v}_x^s(x,\tau) \right) \left(v_x(x,s) - v_x(x,s-\tau) \right) \right] \\ &\left[v_x(x,s+h) - v_x(x,s+h-\tau) \right] \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_\Omega \int_0^{+\infty} a'(\tau) \triangle_h v_x(x,s) \triangle_h g'\left(\overline{v}_x^s(x,\tau) \right) \left[v_{xt}(x,s+h) - v_{xt}(x,s+h-\tau) \right] \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s \end{aligned} \tag{7.13}$$ lies90

and

$$I_{12} = \int_\Omega \int_0^{+\infty} a'(\tau) \triangle_h v_x(0) \triangle_h g' \left(\overline{v}_x^0(x,\tau) \right) \left[v_x(x,h) - v_x(x,h-\tau) \right] \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}x$$
$$- \int_0^t \int_\Omega a'(s+h) \triangle_h g' \left(\int_0^s v_x(x,\lambda) \mathrm{d}\lambda \right) v_0'(x) \triangle_h v_x(x,s) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s \tag{7.14}$$ lies91

Observe that

$$\int_\Omega \int_0^{+\infty} a'(\tau) \triangle_h v_x(0) \triangle_h g' \left(\overline{v}_x^0(x,\tau) \right) \left[v_x(x,h) - v_x(x,h-\tau) \right] \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}x$$
$$= \int_\Omega \left[v_x(h) - v_x(0) \right] \int_0^h a'(\tau) \left[g' \left(\int_{h-\tau}^h v_x(\lambda) \mathrm{d}\lambda \right) - g'(0) \right] \left[v_x(h) - v_x(h-\tau) \right] \mathrm{d}\tau$$
$$- \int_\Omega a(h) \left[v_x(h) - v_x(0) \right] \left[g' \left(\int_0^h v_x(\lambda) \mathrm{d}\lambda \right) - g'(0) \right] v_x(h) \mathrm{d}x \tag{7.15}$$ lies92

By integrating the first term by parts w.r.t. τ one gets

$$I_{12} = \int_\Omega \left[v_x(h) - v_x(0) \right]^2 a(h) \left[g' \left(\int_0^h v_x(\lambda) \mathrm{d}\lambda \right) - g'(0) \right] \mathrm{d}x$$
$$- \int_\Omega \left[v_x(h) - v_x(0) \right] \int_0^h a(\tau) g'' \left(\int_{h-\tau}^h v_x(\lambda) \mathrm{d}\lambda \right) v_x(h-\tau) \left[v_x(h) - v_x(h-\tau) \right] \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}x$$
$$- \int_\Omega \left[v_x(h) - v_x(0) \right] \int_0^h a(\tau) \left[g' \left(\int_{h-\tau}^h v_x(\lambda) \mathrm{d}\lambda \right) - g'(0) \right] v_{xt}(h-\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}x$$
$$- \int_\Omega a(h) \left[v_x(h) - v_x(0) \right] \left[g' \left(\int_0^h v_x(\lambda) \mathrm{d}\lambda \right) - g'(0) \right] v_x(h) \mathrm{d}x$$
$$- \int_0^t \int_\Omega a'(s+h) \triangle_h g' \left(\int_0^s v_x(\lambda) \mathrm{d}\lambda \right) v_0'(x) \triangle_h v_x(s) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s \tag{7.16}$$ lies93

Next, dividing the above by h^2, passing to the limit for $h \to 0_+$ and using the fact that v and its derivatives up to order 2 belong to $\mathscr{C}^2\left([0,T); L^2(\Omega)\right)$ leads to

$$\frac{1}{h^2} I_1 \xrightarrow[h \to 0_+]{} J_1 + J_{01} \tag{7.17}$$ lies10

where

$$J_1 = - \int_\Omega \int_0^{+\infty} \partial_2 \xi(\tau,t,x) v_{xt}(x,t) \left[v_x(x,t) - v_x(x,t-\tau) \right] \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}x$$
$$+ \int_0^t \int_\Omega \int_0^{+\infty} \partial_{22} \xi(\tau,s,x) v_{xt}(x,s) \left[v_x(x,s) - v_x(x,s-\tau) \right] \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s$$
$$+ \int_0^t \int_\Omega \int_0^{+\infty} \partial_2 \xi(\tau,s,x) v_{xt}(x,s) \left[v_{xt}(x,s) - v_{xt}(x,s-\tau) \right] \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s \tag{7.18}$$ lies11

and

$$J_{01} = - \int_0^t \int_\Omega a'(s) v_{xt}(x,s) g'' \left(\overline{v}_x^s(x,s) \right) v_x(x,s) v_0'(x) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s \tag{7.19}$$ j01

The term I_2 can be re-written as

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 =& -\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_\Omega\int_0^{+\infty}\xi(\tau,s,x)\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left|\triangle_h v_x\right|^2(x,s)\mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \\ =& -\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega\int_0^{+\infty}\xi(\tau,t,x)\left|\triangle_h v_x(x,t)\right|^2\mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}x \\ &+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_\Omega\int_0^{+\infty}\partial_2\xi(\tau,s,x)\left|\triangle_h v_x(x,s)\right|^2\mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \end{aligned} \tag{7.20}$$ lies12

Dividing by h^2 and passing to the limit for $h\to 0_+$ one obtains

$$\frac{1}{h^2}I_2 \xrightarrow[h\to 0_+]{} J_2 \tag{7.21}$$ lies13

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 =& -\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega\int_0^{+\infty}\xi(\tau,t,x)\left|v_{xt}(x,t)\right|^2\mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}x \\ &+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_\Omega\int_0^{+\infty}\partial_2\xi(\tau,s,x)\left|v_{xt}(x,s)\right|^2\mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \end{aligned} \tag{7.22}$$ lies14

Next, $I_3 = I_{31} + I_{32} + I_{33}$, where

$$I_{31} = g'(0)\int_0^t\int_\Omega\int_0^s a'(\tau)\triangle_h v_{xt}(x,s)\triangle_h v_x(x,s-\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \tag{7.23}$$ lies15

$$I_{32} = g'(0)\int_0^t\int_\Omega\int_s^{s+h} a'(\tau)\triangle_h v_{xt}(x,s)v_x(x,s+h-\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \tag{7.24}$$ lies16

$$I_{33} = g'(0)a(0)\int_0^t\int_\Omega \triangle_h v_{xt}(x,s)\triangle_h v_x(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \tag{7.25}$$ lies17

Upon integration by parts w.r.t. τ leads to

$$\begin{aligned} I_{31} =& g'(0)\int_0^t\int_\Omega a(s)\triangle_h v_{xt}(x,s)\triangle_h v_x(x,0)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \\ &- g'(0)a(0)\int_0^t\int_\Omega \triangle_h v_{xt}(x,s)\triangle_h v_x(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \\ &+ g'(0)Q\left(\triangle_h v_{xt},a,t\right) \end{aligned} \tag{7.26}$$ lies162

The above implies, upon simplification and integration by parts w.r.t. s, that

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 =& g'(0)Q\left(\triangle_h v_{xt},a,t\right) + g'(0)\int_\Omega a(t)\triangle_h v_x(t)\triangle_h v_x(0)\mathrm{d}x \\ &- g'(0)a(0)\int_\Omega\left(\triangle_h v_x(0)\right)^2\mathrm{d}x - g'(0)\int_0^t\int_\Omega a'(s)\triangle_h v_x(s)\triangle_h v_x(0)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \\ &- g'(0)\int_0^t\int_\Omega\int_s^{s+h} a'(\tau)\triangle_h v_t(x,s)v_{xx}(x,s+h-\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \end{aligned} \tag{7.27}$$ lies163

Divide the above by h^2 and taking the lower limit for $h \to 0_+$ gives

$$\liminf_{h\to 0_+} \frac{1}{h^2} I_3 = g'(0) \liminf_{h\to 0_+} \frac{1}{h^2} Q\left(\triangle_h v_{xt}, a, t\right) + J_3 \tag{7.28}$$ lies164

where

$$J_3 = g'(0)\Big\{ a(t) \int_\Omega v_{xt}(x,t) v_{xt}(x,0) \mathrm{d}x - a(0) \int_\Omega v_{xt}^2(x,0) \mathrm{d}x$$
$$- \int_0^t \int_\Omega a'(s) v_{xt}(x,s) v_{xt}(x,0) \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s - \int_0^t \int_\Omega a'(s) v_{tt}(x,s) v_0''(x) \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \Big\} \tag{7.29}$$ lies165

Next we end up with the same result as in (7.28) with $\left(\liminf_{h\to 0_+}\right)$ being replaced by $\left(\limsup_{h\to 0_+}\right)$.

Now we can write I_4 in the form:

$$I_4 = \int_0^t \int_\Omega \left[\int_0^s \xi(\tau, s) \triangle_h v_x(s-\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau + \int_s^{s+h} \xi(\tau, s) v_x(s+h-\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau \right] \triangle_h v_{xt}(x,s) \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \tag{7.30}$$ lies166

An integration by parts w.r.t. s gives

$$I_4 = I_{41} + I_{42} + I_{43} + I_{44} \tag{7.31}$$ lies167

where

$$I_{41} = -\int_0^t \int_\Omega \int_0^s \left[\partial_2 \xi(\tau, s) \triangle_h v_x(x, s-\tau) + \xi(\tau, s) \triangle_h v_{xt}(x, s-\tau) \right] \mathrm{d}\tau \triangle_h v_x(x,s) \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \tag{7.32}$$ lies168

$$I_{42} = -\int_0^t \int_\Omega \int_s^{s+h} \left[\partial_2 \xi(\tau, s) v_x(x, s+h-\tau) + \xi(\tau, s) v_{xt}(x, s+h-\tau) \right] \mathrm{d}\tau \triangle_h v_x(x,s) \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \tag{7.33}$$ lies169

$$I_{43} = -\int_0^t \int_\Omega \left[\xi(s+h, s) - \xi(s,s) \right] v_0'(x) \triangle_h v_x(x,s) \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \tag{7.34}$$ lies6n0

and

$$I_{44} = \left[\int_\Omega \int_0^{s+h} \xi(\tau, s) \triangle_h v_x(x, s-\tau) \triangle_h v_x(x,s) \mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}x \right]_{s=0}^{s=t} \tag{7.35}$$ lies6n1

We now deal with the second term in I_{41}; we have:

$$-\int_0^s \xi(\tau, s) \triangle_h v_{xt}(x, s-\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau = \xi(s,s) \left[v_x(h) - v_x(0) \right] - \int_0^s \partial_1 \xi(\tau, s) \triangle_h v_x(x, s-\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau \tag{7.36}$$ lies6n2

fact that allows to get

$$I_{41} = -\int_0^t \int_\Omega \int_0^s \left[\partial_1 \xi(\tau, s) + \partial_2 \xi(\tau, s) \right] \triangle_h v_x(x, s-\tau) \triangle_h v_x(x,s) \mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s$$

$$+\int_0^t\int_\Omega \xi(s,s)\left[v_x(h)-v_x(0)\right]\triangle_h v_x(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \tag{7.37}$$ lies6n3

Now we obtain

$$\frac{1}{h^2}I_4 \xrightarrow[h\to 0_+]{} J_4+J_{04} \tag{7.38}$$ lies6n4

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_4 =& -\int_0^t\int_\Omega\int_0^s \left[\partial_1\xi(\tau,s)+\partial_2\xi(\tau,s)\right]v_{xt}(x,s-\tau)v_{xt}(x,s)\mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \\ &+\int_0^t\int_\Omega \xi(\tau,t)v_{xt}(x,t-\tau)v_{xt}(x,t)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}\tau \end{aligned} \tag{7.39}$$ lies6n5

and

$$J_{04}=-\int_0^t\int_\Omega \left[\partial_1\xi(s,s)+\partial_2\xi(s,s)\right]v_0'(x)v_{xt}(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \tag{7.40}$$ lies6n6

Now, from (lies3 7.7), (lies4 7.8),(lies10 7.17),(lies11 7.18),(lies13 7.21),(lies14 7.22),(lies16 7.28),(lies16 7.29),(lies6n4 7.38),(lies6n5 7.39), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega v_{tt}^2(x,t)\mathrm{d}x-\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega v_{tt}^2(x,0)\mathrm{d}x = g'(0)\lim_{h\to 0_+}\frac{1}{h^2}Q\left(\triangle_h v_{xt},a,t\right) \\ &+\int_0^t\int_\Omega v_{tt}(x,s)f_{tt}(x,s)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s+J_1+J_2+J_3+J_4+J_{01}+J_{04} \end{aligned} \tag{7.41}$$ lies6n7

with J_1-J_4 being given by (lies11 7.18), (lies14 7.22), (lies165 7.29) and (lies6n5 7.39), respectively. One now needs to appropriately bound the terms J_1-J_4, J_{01} and J_{04}. It may be easily seen, using Lemma lies 7.1, that all terms J_1, J_2 and J_4 can be bounded by one of the following type of expressions:

$$c\nu^k(t)\int_\Omega |w_1(x,t)|\,|w_2(x,t)|\,\mathrm{d}x \tag{7.42}$$ bfj1

or

$$c\nu^k(t)\int_0^t\int_\Omega |w_1(x,s)|\,|w_2(x,s)|\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \tag{7.43}$$ bfj2

or

$$c\nu^k(t)\int_0^t\int_\Omega \varphi(\tau)\,|w_1(x,t-\tau)|\,|w_2(x,t)|\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}\tau \tag{7.44}$$ bfj3

or

$$c\nu^k(t)\int_0^t\int_\Omega\int_0^s \varphi(\tau)\,|w_1(x,s-\tau)|\,|w_2(x,s)|\,\mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}s \tag{7.45}$$ bfj4

where $\varphi\geq 0$ is a given function in $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ depending on a, $c>0$ is a constant, w_1, w_2 stand for either v or one of its derivatives up to second order, and $k\in\{1,2,3\}$. This is a consequence of assumption (a_3).

Terms like (7.42) and (7.43) can easily be bounded by $c\nu^k(t)\mathcal{E}(t)$. Using Lemma 3.2, terms like (7.44) and (7.45) can also be easily bounded by $c\nu^k(t)\mathcal{E}(t)$. We then obtain that there exists a constant $c > 0$ s.t.

$$J_1 + J_2 + J_4 \leq c\left[\nu(t) + \nu^3(t)\right]\mathcal{E}(t) \tag{7.46}$$

The estimates for J_3, J_{01} and J_{04} are simpler to obtain since they contain initial data. Using (4.27) we get $v_{xt}(x,0) = f_x(x,0)$. It easily follows that

$$|J_3| \leq |g'(0)|\left(|a(t)| + \|a'\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)}\right)\left[\left(\sqrt{F} + \sqrt{V_0}\right)\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(t)} + a(0)F\right] \tag{7.47}$$

$$|J_{01}| \leq K\theta\|a'\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)}\|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}\mathcal{E}(t) \tag{7.48}$$

and

$$|J_{04}| \leq 3K\left(\theta\|a'\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)} + \|a''r_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)}\right)\|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}\nu(t)\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(t)} \tag{7.49}$$

From (7.41), (7.46),(7.47) and (7.49), the result stated in the Lemma now follows.

□

References

[1] R. B. Bird, R. C. Armstrong, O. Hassager, Dynamics of Polymeric Liquids, Vol. 2: Kinetic Theories, J. Wiley & Sons, New-York, 1987.

[2] D. Brandon, W. J. Hrusa, Global existence of smooth shearing motions of a nonlinear viscoelastic fluid, Journal of Integral Equations and Applications, **2**(3), 333-351, 1990.

[3] D. Brandon, Global existence and asymptotic stability for a nonlinear integrodifferential equation modeling heat flow, SIAM Journal of Mathematical Analysis, **22**(1), 72-106, 1991.

[4] A.V. Busuioc, I.S. Ciuperca, D. Iftimie and L.I. Palade, The FENE dumbbell polymer model: existence and uniqueness of solutions for the momentum balance equation, Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equations, 2013, accepted; arXiv:1104.1193.

[5] I. S. Ciuperca, A. Heibig and L. I. Palade, Existence and uniqueness results for the Doi-Edwards polymer melt model: the case of the (full) nonlinear configurational probability density equation, Nonlinearity, **25**(4), 9911009, 2012.

[6] M. Doi, S. F. Edwards, Dynamics of concentrated polymer systems, Part 3.-The constitutive equation, Journal of the Chemical Society, Faraday Transactions II, **74**, 1818-1832, 1978.

[7] M. Doi, S. F. Edwards, The Theory of Polymer Dynamics, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1989.

[8] H. Engler, Weak solutions of a class of quasilinear hyperbolic integro-differential equations describing viscoelastic materials, Archives for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, **113**(1), 1 - 38, 1991; ibid **130**(4), 401, 1995.

[9] M. M. Eller, Gårding's inequality on manifolds with boundary, Chap. 6, 87-99, in Control Theory of Partial Differential Equations, O. Imanuvilev, G. Leugering, R. Triggiani and B. Zhang Editors, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton FL, 2005.

grip1 [10] G. Gripenberg, S.- O. Londen, O. Staffans, Volterra Integral and Functional Equations, Cambridge University Press, 1990.

hbg [11] A. Heibig, Some properties of the Doi-Edwards and K-BKZ equations and operators, submitted, 2014.

limg [12] J. L. Lions, E. Magenes, Problèmes aux Limites Non-homogènes et Applications, vol. 1, Dunod, Paris, 1968.

ott1 [13] H. C. Öttinger, Beyond Equilibrium Thermodynamics, Wiley, 2006.

pru1 [14] J. Prüss, Evolutionary Integral Equations and Applications, Modern Birkhaüser Classics, Springer, New York, 2012.

rhn [15] M. Renardy, W. J. Hrusa, J. A. Nohel, Mathematical Problems in Viscoelasticity, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics vol. 35, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow Essex, 1987.

ren [16] M. Renardy, Mathematical Analysis of Viscoelastic Flows, SIAM, 2000.

stf1 [17] O. J. Staffans, On a nonlinear hyperbolic Volterra equation, SIAM Journal of Mathematical Analysis, **11**, 793-812, 1980.

ste1 [18] E. M. Stein, Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1970.

tay [19] M.E. Taylor, Pseudodifferential operators, Princeton Mathematical Series, 34. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1981.